

Geometric and topological structures related to M-branes

Hisham Sati

ABSTRACT. We consider the topological and geometric structures associated with cohomological and homological objects in M-theory. For the latter, we have M2-branes and M5-branes, the analysis of which requires the underlying spacetime to admit a String structure and a Fivebrane structure, respectively. For the former, we study how the fields in M-theory are associated with the above structures, with homotopy algebras, with twisted cohomology, and with generalized cohomology. We also explain how the corresponding charges should take values in Topological Modular forms. We survey background material and related results in the process.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Setting	1
2. The M-theory C -Field and String Structures	8
3. The M-theory Dual C -Field and Fivebrane Structures	20
4. The Gauge Algebra of Supergravity in $6k - 1$ Dimensions	29
5. Duality-Symmetric Twists	36
6. M-brane Charges and Twisted Topological Modular Forms	40
References	51

1. Introduction and Setting

String theory is concerned with a worldsheet Σ^2 , usually a Riemann surface, a target spacetime M , usually of dimension ten, and the space of maps $\phi : \Sigma^2 \rightarrow M$ between them. The study of the field theory on Σ^2 is the subject of two-dimensional conformal field theory (CFT). The study of the maps is the sigma (σ -) model and the study of the target space is the target theory where low energy limits, i.e. field and supergravity theories, can be taken.

The target space theory involves fields called the Ramond-Ramond (RR) or the Neveu-Schwarz (NS) fields. These are differential forms or cohomology classes which

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C08, 55R65; Secondary 81T50, 55N20, 11F23.

Key words and phrases. String structures, Fivebrane structures, n -bundles, differential cohomology, K-theory, topological modular forms, generalized cohomology theories, anomalies, dualities.

can be paired with homology cycles, the branes, namely D-branes and NS-branes respectively. These extended objects carry charges, generalizing those carried by electrons. The RR fields are classified by K-theory [MM] [W6] and are twisted by the NS fields leading to a twisted K-theory classification [W6] (see also [BM]), in the sense of [Ro] [BCMMS]. Such a description has been refined to more generalized cohomology theories, most notably elliptic cohomology. This was approached from cancellation of anomalies in type IIA string theory [KS1], studying the compatibility of generalized cohomology twists with S-duality in type IIB string theory [KS2], and the study of modularity in the actions of type IIB string theory and F-theory [KS3] [S4].

The study of sigma models involves loop spaces as follows. The definition of spinors require lifting the classifying map of the tangent bundle from the special orthogonal group $SO(n)$ to the spinor group $Spin(n)$, which corresponds to killing the first homotopy group $\pi_1(SO(n))$ of $SO(n)$. In string theory a further step is needed, namely lifting the spinor group to the String group $String(n)$ by killing ¹ $\pi_3(SO(n))$, giving rise to String structures. Existence of such structures is a condition for the vanishing condition of the anomaly of a string in the context of the index theory of Dirac operators on loop space [W3] [Ki]. The String structure is regarded as a lift of an $LSpin(n)$ -bundle over the free loop space LX through the Kac-Moody central extension $\widehat{LSpin}(n)$ -bundle [Ki] [CP] [PW] [Mc]. This lift can also be interpreted as a lift of the original $Spin(n)$ -bundle down on target space X to a principal bundle for the topological group $String(n)$ [ST1]. This is, in fact, the realization of the nerve of a *smooth* categorified group, the String 2-group [BCSS] [H]. The above classification of String-bundles coincided with that of 2-bundles with structure 2-group the String 2-group [BS] [BBK] [BSt]. In addition to the above infinite-dimensional models, now there is a finite-dimensional model for the String 2-group [S-P]. The elliptic genus is a loop space generalization of the $\hat{A}$ -genus as the index of the Dirac-Ramond operator [SW] [PSW] [W2] [AKMW]. The Green-Schwarz [GS] anomaly can be computed as essentially the elliptic genus [LNSW]. Mathematically, the connection between elliptic genera and loop spaces has been studied, notably in [A] and [Liu]. The String structure, required by modularity, provides an orientation [AHS] [AHR] for TMF, the theory of Topological Modular Forms [Ho] [Go].

At the level of conformal field theory, which is the quantum field theory on the worldsheet of the string, one has fields that are pulled back from the spacetime theory via the sigma model map, in addition to other fields. In two-dimensional supersymmetric quantum field theory the partition function, which is an integral modular function, is argued in [ST1] [ST2] to be an element in TMF. Another geometric description of elliptic cohomology via CFT is given in [HK2], which builds on Segal's definition of CFT and on vertex operator algebras. A variant that has features of both [ST1] and [HK2] is proposed in [GHK] using a newly-introduced notion of infinite loop spaces.

We emphasize two main points, central to the theme of this paper, concerning the above mathematical structures:

¹This might perhaps more correctly be called “cokilling” since it corresponds to the Whitehead tower rather than to the Postnikov tower.

- (1) First, generally, that various structures appearing in this part of theoretical physics are much deeper (and thereby richer and more interesting) than the sketchy physics literature about them indicates.
- (2) Second, more specifically, that the above mathematical structures appearing in string theory are beginning to appear, even in a perhaps richer form, within the study of another theory, namely M-theory.

M-theory (cf. [W5] [To3]) is a conjectured theory in eleven dimensions that unifies all five ten-dimensional superstring theories. The theory is best understood through these string theories and also via its classical low energy limit, eleven-dimensional supergravity theory [CJS]. Thus one strategy in studying the theory is to take eleven-dimensional supergravity and perform semi-classical quantization. Due to quantum effects the process is only selectively reliable. Among the reliable terms are the topological terms, i.e. the terms that are not sensitive to the metric. Metric-dependent quantities might undergo drastic changes due to quantum gravitational effects. One way of keeping the metric requires taking some large volume limit, making sure that the scale is larger than the critical scale at which Riemannian geometry can no longer provide a good description. As the theory is supersymmetric, it will at least have a fermion (in this case, a section of the tensor product of the tangent bundle and the spin bundle), and since it involves gravity, it will also contain a metric, or graviton. There is also an a priori metric-independent field, called the C-field. This is a higher-degree analog of a connection whose field strength – the analog of a curvature – is denoted by G_4 .

The fields (aside from the metric and fermions) in string theory and M-theory are differential forms at the rational level, i.e. at the level of description of the corresponding supergravity theories. Gauge invariance leads to a description of the fields in terms of de Rham cohomology. Quantum mechanically, these generically become integral-valued and hence one needs to go beyond de Rham cohomology to integral cohomology. Dually, these fields can be described via homology cycles that admit extra geometric structures, such as Spin structures and vector bundles. This dual homological picture is captured by the notion of *branes*, namely D-branes in string theory and M-branes in M-theory. The fields and the branes are of specified dimensions, determined by the corresponding theory. In particular, D-branes have odd (even) spacetime dimensions, and hence even (odd) spatial dimensions, for type IIA (type IIB) string theory. For M-branes, spatial dimensions two for the M2-brane [BST] and five for the M5-brane [Gu] occur.

The five string theories in ten dimensions are related through a web of dualities (see e.g. [Sch2] for a survey). The first kind of duality is called T-duality (“T” for Target space), which relates two different theories on torus bundles, where the first theory with fiber a torus is related to a second theory with a fiber the dual torus. An example of this is T-duality between type IIA and type IIB string theories. The second kind of dualities is S-duality, or strong-weak coupling duality, which relates a theory at a high value of some parameter (strong coupling) to another theory at a low value of the same parameter (weak coupling). This generalizes the usual electromagnetic duality between electric and magnetic fields in four dimensions. Whenever we discuss dualities in this paper we will focus mostly on S-duality. A duality within the same theory is called self-duality. An example of this is the self-duality among the RR fields in type IIA/B string theory. At the rational level this is

simply a manifestation of Hodge duality. A delicate discussion of such matters can be found in [Fr2] [FMS]. Another important example of this is S-(self)duality in type IIB string theory. Some subtleties on the relation of this duality to generalized cohomology are discussed in [BEJMS] [KS2].

The above dualities can be most directly seen at the level of fields. By Poincaré duality, such dualities also manifest themselves at the level of branes. Branes of even (odd) spatial dimensions in type IIA (type IIB) are dual to odd (even) branes in type IIB (IIA) string theory. Furthermore, within the same type II theory, D- p -branes are dual to D- $(6-p)$ -branes, p even or odd for type IIA or type IIB, respectively. This is a homological manifestation of the self-duality of the RR fields. There is a similar duality in eleven dimensions which relates the C -field C_3 to its dual C_6 , which, at the rational level, is Hodge duality between the corresponding fields strengths $G_4 = *G_7$. This duality on the fields also has a homological interpretation as a duality between the M2-brane and the M5-brane.

String theories in ten dimensions can be obtained from eleven-dimensional M-theory via dimensional reduction and/or duality transformations. M-theory on the total space of a circle bundle gives rise to type IIA string theory on the base space. By pulling back the fields along the section of the circle bundle π , assumed trivial, one gets a D2-brane from an M2-brane [To2] and a NS5-brane from an M5-brane. On the other hand, upon integration over the fiber of π , the M2-branes give rise to strings [DHIS] and the M5-brane give rise to D4-branes [To2]. The branes of type IIB string theory can also be obtained from those of M-theory on a torus bundle [Sch1]. Similar relations hold at the level of fields: Integrating G_4 in eleven dimensions over the circle gives $H_3 = \pi_*(G_4)$, the field strength of the NS B -field. On the other hand, pulling back G_4 along a section s , again assuming the circle bundle is trivial, gives a degree four field $F_4 = s^*G_4$, which is one component of the total RR field. An invariant description of this is given in [FS] and [MSa].

Branes carry charges— a notion that can be made mathematically precise— that can be viewed either as classes of bundles in generalized cohomology or as their images in rational or integral cohomology under a (normalized) version of the Chern character map. A working mathematical definition of D-branes and their charges can be found in [BMRS]: A D-brane in ten-dimensional spacetime X is a triple (W, E, ι) , where $\iota : W \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed, embedded submanifold and $E \in \text{Vect}(W)$ is a complex vector bundle over W . The submanifold W is called the worldvolume and E the Chan-Paton bundle of the D-brane. The charges of the D-branes [Po] can be classified, in the absence of the NS fields, by K-theory of spacetime [MM], namely by $K^0(X)$ for type IIB [W6] and by $K^1(X)$ for type IIA [Ho]. The fields are also classified by K-theory of spacetime but with the roles of K^0 and K^1 interchanged [MW] [FH]. In the presence of the NS B -field, or its field strength H_3 , the relevant K-theory is twisted K-theory, as was shown in [W6] [FW] [Ka] by analysis of worldsheet anomalies for the case the NS field $[H_3] \in H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a torsion class, and in [BM] for the nontorsion case. Twisted K-theory has been studied for some time [DK] [Ro]. More geometric flavors were given in [BCMMS]. Recently, the theory was fully developed by Atiyah and Segal [AS1] [AS2]. The identification of twisted D-brane charges with elements in twisted K-theory requires a push-forward map and a Thom isomorphism in the latter, both of which are established in [CW].

The study of the M-brane charges and their relation to (generalized) cohomology is one of the main goals of this paper. Given the relation between generalized cohomology and string theory on one hand, and between string theory and M-theory on the other, it is natural to ask whether elliptic cohomology or TMF have to do with M-theory directly. Suggestions along these lines have been given in [KS1] [S1] [S2] [S3]. In particular in [KS1] it was proposed that the M-branes should be described by TMF in the sense that the elliptic refinement of the partition function originates from interactions of M2-branes and M5-branes. Furthermore, in [S3] it was observed that the M-theory field strength G_4 , rationally, can be viewed as part of a twist of the de Rham complex and suggested that the lift to generalized cohomology would be related to a twisted version TMF . A twisted differential is one of the form $d + \alpha \wedge$ acting on differential forms, where d is the de Rham differential and α is a differential form. Twisted rational cohomology is then the kernel modulo the image of $d + \alpha \wedge$. When α is a 3-form then one gets the image of twisted K-theory under the twisted Chern character (cf. [AS2] [BCMMS] [MSt]).

The field strength G_4 on an eleven-manifold Y^{11} satisfies the shifted quantization condition [W7]

$$(1.1) \quad [G_4] + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(Y^{11}) = a \in H^4(Y^{11}; \mathbb{Z}) ,$$

with $\lambda(Y^{11}) = \frac{1}{2}p_1(Y^{11})$, where $p_1(Y^{11})$ is the first Pontrjagin class of the tangent bundle TY^{11} of Y^{11} and a is the degree four class that characterizes an E_8 bundle in M-theory [DMW]. There is a one-to-one correspondence between $H^4(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and isomorphism classes of principal E_8 bundles on M , when the dimension of M is less than or equal to 15, which is the case for Y^{11} in M-theory. This follows from homotopy type of E_8 being of the form $(3, 15, \dots)$. Up to the 14th-skeleton E_8 is homotopy equivalent to the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ so that up to the 15th-skeleton the classifying space BE_8 is $\sim K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$. For the homotopy classes of maps $[M, E_8] = [M, K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)] = H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})$ if $\dim M \leq 14$, and similarly $\{\text{Equivalence classes of } E_8 \text{ bundles on } M\} = [M, BE_8] = [M, K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)] = H^4(M, \mathbb{Z})$ if $\dim M \leq 15$. Therefore, corresponding to an element $a \in H^4(M, \mathbb{Z})$ we have an E_8 principal bundle $P(a) \rightarrow M$ with $p_1(P(a)) = a$.

In [Wa] the notion of a twisted String structure was defined, where the twist is given by a degree four cocycle. This degree four generalization of the twisted Spin^c structure in degree three was anticipated there to be related to the flux quantization condition (1.1). This was made explicit and precise in [SSS3], where also the Green-Schwarz anomaly was shown to be more precisely the obstruction to having a refined twisted String structure.

The study the dual NS- and M-theory fields of degree eight leads to even higher structures. The first appears in a dual form of the Green-Schwarz anomaly cancellation. The second satisfies a condition analogous to (1.1) in degree eight, as shown in [DFM]. In [SSS1] Fivebrane structures were introduced and the corresponding differential geometric structures, i.e. the higher bundles, are constructed. They are systematically studied in [SSS2] and shown to emerge within the description of the dual of the Green-Schwarz anomaly, involving the Hodge dual of H_3 in ten dimensions, as well as in the description of the dual of the M-theory C -field in eleven

dimensions. Fivebrane structures can be twisted in the same way that String structures can. Twisted Fivebrane structures are defined and studied in [SSS3], and their obstructions can be matched with the dual of the Green-Schwarz anomaly. In fact, both twisted String and Fivebrane structures are refined in [SSS3] to the differential case, using a generalization of the discussion in [HS]. The notion of (twisted) String and, to some extent, Fivebrane structures can in fact be described in various ways, via:

1. Principal and associated bundles.
2. Gerbes and differential characters.
3. Čech cohomology and Deligne cohomology.
4. Loop bundles.
5. 2-bundles and 6-bundles and their 2-algebras and 6-algebras, respectively.

Another purpose of this paper is to provide the generalized cohomology aspect. The appearance of λ in (1.1) and the subsequent interpretation in terms of twisted String structures suggests a relation to a theory that admits that structure as an orientation. A Spin manifold M has a characteristic class λ such that $2\lambda = p_1(M)$. The paper [AHS] shows that M admits a TMF orientation if $\lambda = 0$. More precisely, a String structure on a Spin manifold M is a choice of trivialization of λ , and in [AHS] it is shown that a String structure determines an orientation of M in TMF -cohomology. In this paper we argue that the shifted quantization condition (1.1) provides a twist for TMF and hence that (1.1) defines a twist for TMF . More precisely, the cohomology class of G_4 is $\frac{1}{2}\lambda - a$, which we view as a twist of the TMF orientation by the degree four class a of the E_8 bundle. Since $E_8 \sim K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ up to dimension 14 then a a priori can be any class in $K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$. However, fixing an E_8 bundle completely fixes a . Conjectures for using a twisted form of TMF and elliptic cohomology – and hence that such structures should exist – to describe the fields in M-theory go back to [S2] [S3].

Given the interpretation of G_4 and its dual in terms of twisted String and Fivebrane structures and the proposed connection to twisted TMF , it is natural to consider the corresponding homological objects. The charge of the M5-brane is, rationally, the value of the integral of G_4 over the unit sphere in the normal bundle in the eleven-dimensional manifold. We interpret the charge of the M5-brane in full and not just rationally, in a Riemann-Roch setting, as the direct image of an element in twisted TMF on the M-brane. The interpretation of the charges as elements of twisted TMF uses some recent work [ABG] on push-forward and Thom isomorphism in TMF . This is a higher degree generalization of the case of D-branes, where the H -field defines a twist for the Spin^c structure and the charge is defined using the push-forward and Thom isomorphism in twisted K-theory.

Given the topological structures defined by G_4 and its dual, it is natural to ask for geometric models for the corresponding potentials, i.e. the C -field C_3 and its dual. There is the E_8 model of the C -field [DMW] [DFM], mentioned above, which is essentially a Chern-Simons form, or more precisely a shifted differential character, where the shift on the E_8 bundle class a is given by the factor $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$. In an alternative model in terms of 2-gerbes [AJ], picking a connection A on the E_8 principal bundle $P(a)$ gives a Deligne class, the Chern-Simons 2-gerbe $CS(a)$, with a its characteristic class. The interpretation we advocate in terms of twisted String

structures allows for other interpretations of the C -field, where the term $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$ is now the ‘main’ term, since it is responsible for defining the String structure, and the term a coming from the E_8 bundle is merely a twist for that structure. This gives the tangent bundle-related term a more prominent role. In fact, this model can be described at the differential twisted cohomology level [SSS3]. In this paper we provide an identification of the C -field as the *String class*, i.e. as the class that provides the trivialization of the String structure. We also do the same for the dual of the C -field which we identify with the *Fivebrane class*, the class that provides the trivialization for the Fivebrane structure. We also give an alternative description using differential characters.

The appearance of higher chromatic phenomena in [KS1] [KS2] [KS3] in relation to string theory, and the appearance of Fivebrane structures in degree eight in string theory and M-theory naturally leads to the question of whether higher degree twists exist in this context. Indeed, in [S6] it was shown that a degree seven twist occurs in heterotic string theory, manifested via a differential of the form $d + H_7 \wedge$. The lift of this twisted rational cohomology to generalized cohomology suggests the appearance of the second generator v_2 at the prime 2 in theories descending from complex cobordism MU . This generalizes the situation in degree three, where the Bott generator $u = v_1$ in K-theory appears via $d + v_1 H_3 \wedge$. In this paper we consider the fields in M-theory as part of a twist in de Rham cohomology, extending and refining the discussion in [S1] [S2] [S3]. In addition we consider duality-symmetric twists, i.e. twisted differentials whose twists are uniform degree combinations of the H -field and its dual as well as G_4 and its dual. The second case leads to an interesting appearance of the M-theory gauge algebra, which in turn leads to the super-translation algebra. We also provide an L_∞ -algebra description of this gauge algebra.

String Theory	M-Theory
sigma model $\phi : \Sigma^2 \hookrightarrow X^{10}$	sigma model $\Phi : M^3 \hookrightarrow Y^{11}$
$\psi \in \Gamma(S\Sigma^2 \otimes \phi^* T X^{10})$, B_2 1-gerbe	$\psi \in \Gamma(SM^3 \otimes \mathcal{N}(M^3 \hookrightarrow Y^{11}))$, C_3 2-gerbe
D-brane $\supset \partial \Sigma^2$	M5-brane $\supset \partial M^3$
Freed-Witten condition $W_3 + [H_3] = 0$	Witten Flux quantization $\frac{1}{2}\lambda + [G_4] = a$

TABLE 1. Extended objects in string theory and in M-theory.

This paper is written in an expository style, even though it is mainly about original research. In fact, there are three types of material:

- Survey of known results, with some new perspectives as well as providing some generalization.
- New research established here.
- New research announced and outlined here and to be more fully developed in the future. This material is mostly based on discussions with Matthew Ando, Chris Douglas, Corbett Redden, Jim Stasheff, and Urs Schreiber.

We hope that the expository style makes it more self-contained and accessible to mathematicians interested in this area of interaction between physics on one hand and geometry and topology on the other.

2. The M-theory C -Field and String Structures

Consider an eleven-dimensional Spin manifold Y^{11} with metric g . Corresponding to the tangent bundle TY^{11} with structure group $SO(11)$ there is the Spin bundle SY^{11} with structure group the Spin group $\text{Spin}(11)$, the double cover of $SO(11)$. Let ω be the Spin connection on SY^{11} with curvature R . Using the metric we can identify the cotangent bundle T^*Y^{11} with the tangent bundle. The field content of the theory is the metric g , a spinor one-form ψ , i.e. a section $\in \Gamma(SY^{11} \otimes T^*Y^{11})$, and a degree three form C_3 .

2.1. The Quantization Condition and the E_8 model for the C -field.

The quantization condition on G_4 on Y^{11} is given in equation (1.1). This can be obtained either from the partition function of the membrane or from the reduction to the heterotic $E_8 \times E_8$ theory on the boundary [W7]. We will reproduce this result using the membrane partition function in a fashion that is essentially the same as appears in [W7] but with details retained. Since the Spin cobordism groups $\Omega_{4k+3}^{\text{Spin}}$ are zero, we can extend both the membrane worldvolume M^3 and the target spacetime Y^{11} as Spin manifolds to bounding manifolds X^4 and Z^{12} , respectively. In fact we can also extend E_8 bundles on M^3 and Y^{11} to E_8 bundles on X^4 and Z^{12} , respectively, since $M\text{Spin}_i(K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)) = 0$ for $i = 3, 11$ [Stg] where BE_8 has the homotopy of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ in our range of dimensions. The effective action involves two factors:

- (1) The ‘topological term’ $\exp i \int_{M^3} C_3$,
- (2) The fermion term $\exp i \int_{M^3} \bar{\psi} D \psi$, where the integrand in the exponential is the pairing in spinor space of the spinor ψ with the spinor $D\psi$, where D is the Dirac operator.

The first factor will simply give $\exp i \int_{X^4} G_4$. Now consider the second factor. Corresponding to the map $\phi : X^4 \rightarrow Z^{12}$ we have the index of the Dirac operator for spinors that are sections of $S(X^4) \otimes \phi^* TZ^{12}$ given via the index theorem by the degree two expression

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Index } D &= \left[\int_{X^4} \hat{A}(X^4) \wedge \text{ch}(\phi^* TZ^{12}) \right]_{(2)} \\
 &= \int_{X^4} \left[1 - \frac{1}{24} p_1(TX^4) \right] [\text{rank}(TZ^{12}) + \text{ch}_2(\phi^* TZ^{12})] \\
 (2.1) \quad &= \int_{X^4} \frac{1}{2} p_1(\phi^* TZ^{12}) - \frac{1}{2} p_1(X^4).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have a split of the restriction of the tangent bundle TZ^{12} to X^4 as $TZ^{12} = TX^4 \oplus \mathcal{N}X^4$ with $\mathcal{N}X^4$ the normal bundle of X^4 in Z^{12} . Taking the characteristic class λ of both sides we get that the index is equal to $\lambda(\mathcal{N}X^4)$. The effective action involves the square root of the index so that the contribution from the second factor in the effective action is $\exp 2\pi i \frac{1}{2} \lambda(\mathcal{N}X^4)$. This gives the result in [W7] provided we assume that $\mathcal{N}(M^3 \hookrightarrow Y^{11}) \cong \mathcal{N}(X^4 \hookrightarrow Z^{12})$.

Proposition 2.1. The M-theory field G_4 satisfies the quantization condition (1.1).

Remarks 2.2. The condition (1.1) has the following consequences [W7]:

- (1) When λ is divisible by two then G_4 cannot be set to zero.
- (2) When $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ is integral then the tadpole anomaly of [SVW] vanishes.
- (3) The relation is parity-invariant, i.e. requiring $G_4 - \frac{\lambda}{2}$ to be integral is equivalent to $G_4 + \frac{\lambda}{2}$ being integral.

The E_8 model of C -field. The C -field at the level of supergravity will be just a real-valued three-form $C_3 \in \Omega^3(Y^{11}; \mathbb{R})$. The field strength is $G_4 = dC_3 \in \Omega^4(Y^{11})$. This is invariant under gauge transformations $C_3 \rightarrow C_3 + d\phi_2$, where ϕ_2 is a two-form. Factoring out by the gauge transformations amounts to declaring the fields to be in cohomology. Upon quantization, several features become important: integrality via holonomy, the presence of torsion, and possible appearance of anomalies. Taking these into account, a model for the C -field was obtained in [W7] and further developed in [DMW] [DFM] [Mo].

Let P be a principal E_8 bundle over Y^{11} with the characteristic class a pulled back from $H^4(BE_8; \mathbb{Z})$. Let A be a connection on P with curvature two-form F . The C -field in this model is given by $C = CS_3(A) - \frac{1}{2}CS(\omega) + c$, where $CS_3(A)$ is the Chern-Simons invariant for the connection A , $CS(\omega)$ is the Chern-Simons invariant of the connection ω on the Spin bundle, and c is the harmonic representative of the C -field which dominates at long distance approximation, i.e. in the supergravity regime. The Chern-Simons forms and the Pontrjagin forms are related as $dCS_3(A) = \text{Tr} F \wedge F$, $dCS_3(\omega) = \text{Tr} R \wedge R$, so that the field strength of the C -field is given by

$$(2.2) \quad G_4 = \text{Tr} F \wedge F - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} R \wedge R + dc.$$

The cohomology classes are $[\text{Tr} F \wedge F]_{DR} = a_{\mathbb{R}}$, $[\text{Tr} R \wedge R]_{DR} = \frac{1}{2} (p_1(TY^{11}))_{\mathbb{R}}$. Globally, the C -field can be described as the pair [DFM]

$$(2.3) \quad (A, c) \in \mathcal{E}_P(Y^{11}) := \mathcal{A}(P(a)) \times \Omega^3(Y^{11}),$$

where $\mathcal{A}(P(a))$ is the space of smooth connections on the bundle P with class a .

2.2. Twisted String structures.

Definition 2.3. An n -dimensional manifold X admits a *String structure* if the classifying map $X \rightarrow BO(n)$ of the tangent bundle TX lifts to the classifying space $B\text{String} := BO\langle 8 \rangle$.

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & BO(n)\langle 8 \rangle \\ & \nearrow \hat{f} & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & BO(n) \end{array}$$

- Remarks 2.4.**
- (1) The obstruction to lifting a Spin structure on X to a String structure on X is the fractional first Pontrjagin class $\frac{1}{2}p_1(TX)$.
 - (2) The set of lifts, i.e. the set of String structures for a fixed Spin structure is a torsor for a quotient of the third integral cohomology group $H^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 2.5 ([Wa] [SSS3]). An α -twisted String structure on a brane $\iota : M \rightarrow X$ with Spin structure classifying map $f : M \rightarrow BO(n)\langle 4 \rangle$ is a cocycle $\alpha : X \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ and a map $c : BO(n)\langle 4 \rangle \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ such that there is a homotopy η between $BO(n)\langle 4 \rangle$ and X ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & BO(n)\langle 4 \rangle \\ \downarrow \iota & \searrow \eta & \downarrow c \\ X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \end{array} .$$

The homotopy η is the coboundary that relates the cocycle cf to the cocycle $\alpha\iota$. Hence on cohomology classes it says that the fractional Pontrjagin class of M does not necessarily vanish, but is equal to the class $\iota^*[\alpha]$.

The twisted String case was originally considered in [Wa]. The definition is refined to structures dubbed $\mathcal{F}^{(m)}$ that account for obstructions that are fractions of the ones for the String structures. For example, the fractional class $\frac{1}{4}p_1$ shows up in (1.1). As an application, which was originally the motivation:

Theorem 2.6 ([SSS3]). (1) *The Green-Schwarz anomaly cancellation condition defines a twisted String structure pulled back from $BO(10)\langle 4 \rangle = BSpin(10)$. The twist α in this case is given by (minus) the degree four class of the $E_8 \times E_8$ bundle.*

(2) *The anomaly cancellation condition in heterotic M-theory and the flux quantization condition in M-theory each define a twisted String structure pulled back from $\mathcal{F}^{(4)} = BO\langle \frac{1}{4}p_1 \rangle$. The twist α in this case is given by $[G_4]$ minus the class of the E_8 bundle.*

The division of λ by 2 require some refinement of the structure [SSS3] as mentioned in Remarks 6.11. The relation to orientation in generalized cohomology is discussed in the study of the membrane partition function in section 2.4. Note that when we consider M-theory with a boundary ∂Y^{11} , where essentially the heterotic string theory is defined, $[G_4]$ would be zero when restricted to ∂Y^{11} . In this case the flux quantization condition defines a a -twisted String structure $\frac{1}{2}p_1(\partial Y^{11}) = a|_{\partial Y^{11}} \neq 0$ on that boundary. This is discussed further in section 6.3.

2.3. The C -field as a String class. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a suitably normalized Ad-invariant metric on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{spin}(11)$ of $Spin(11)$. Then the 4-dimensional Chern-Weil form $\langle R \wedge R \rangle \in \Omega^4(Y^{11})$ on $S(Y^{11})$ is one-half the first Pontrjagin class (restoring normalization) $\frac{1}{2}p_1(S, \omega) = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \text{Tr}(R \wedge R)$. We will later assume the following condition on the Pontrjagin class $\frac{1}{2}p_1(Y^{11}) = 0$ in $H^4(Y^{11}; \mathbb{R})$. This means that the bundle $S(Y^{11})$ admits a String structure. A choice of String structure is given by a particular cohomology class $\mathcal{S} \in H^3(S(Y^{11}); \mathbb{Z})$. This element restricts to the fiber as the standard generator of $H^3(Spin(11); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

In terms of the curvature R of the connection ω on $S(Y^{11})$, the condition $\frac{1}{2}p_1(S(Y^{11})) = 0 \in H^4(Y^{11}; \mathbb{R})$ means that $[\text{Tr} R \wedge R] = 0 \in H^4(Y^{11}; \mathbb{R})$. The Chern-Simons 3-form of the connection ω on the principal bundle $S(Y^{11})$ is the right-invariant form $CS_3(\omega) := \langle \omega \wedge R \rangle - \frac{1}{6} \langle \omega \wedge [\omega, \omega] \rangle \in \Omega^3(S)$, whose pull-back to

the fiber via the inclusion map $i : \text{Spin}(11) \hookrightarrow S$ is the real cohomology class $-\frac{1}{6}\langle\omega \wedge [\omega, \omega]\rangle \in H^3(\text{Spin}(11); \mathbb{R})$ associated to the real image of the standard generator of $H^3(\text{Spin}(11); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The Chern-Simons form $CS_3(\omega)$ provides a trivialization for the zero cohomology class above: $dCS_3(\omega) = \text{Tr} R \wedge R \in \Omega^4(S(Y^{11}))$.

Now we consider the geometry on the total space of the Spin bundle. Corresponding to a choice of Riemannian metric g on Y^{11} and a connection ω on $S(Y^{11})$ gives rise to a metric g_S on the total space $S(Y^{11})$. Under the decomposition $TS(Y^{11}) \cong \pi^*(TY^{11} \oplus \mathfrak{spin}(11))$ of the tangent space into orthogonal vertical and horizontal subspaces, the metric decomposes as $g_S := \pi^*(g \oplus g_{\text{Spin}(11)})$, where $g_{\text{Spin}(11)}$ is the metric on the fiber.

In relating the fields on the base to classes on the total space, one is forced to use the adiabatic limit, introduced in [W1], of the metric on the total space. In particular, as is constructed in [R1], the String structure $\mathcal{S}$ on $S(Y^{11})$ is related to a form on the base in this fashion. This way there is a one-parameter family of metrics $g_\delta = \pi^*\left(\frac{1}{\delta^2}g \oplus g_{\text{Spin}(11)}\right)$ on the bundle $S(Y^{11})$ with parameter δ . This is reminiscent of a Kaluza-Klein ansatz frequently used in supergravity. Now consider the adiabatic limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ of g_δ . Note that metrics in the adiabatic limit have been used in this form e.g. in [MSa] in the reduction from M-theory in eleven dimensions to type IIA string theory in ten dimensions.

Relative Chern-Simons form on Y^{11} . Before considering the C -field we will need the following. Consider an E_8 bundle P over Y^{11} with connection $A \in \Omega^1(P; \mathfrak{e}_8)$ and curvature $\pi^*\Omega = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A] \in \Omega^2(P; \mathfrak{e}_8)$, where $\Omega \in \Omega^2(Y^{11}; \text{ad}P)$, a two-form with values in $\text{ad}P$. The space $\mathcal{A}_P$ of connections on P is an affine space modeled on $\Omega^1(Y^{11}; \text{ad}P)$. Using [Fr1] we can define a relative Chern-Simons invariant on the base. Given two connections A_0 and A_1 in $\mathcal{A}_P$, the straight line path $A_t = (1-t)A_0 + tA_1$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, determines a connection $\mathbb{A}$ on the bundle $[0, 1] \times P \longrightarrow [0, 1] \times Y^{11}$. The relative Chern-Simons form is then

$$(2.5) \quad CS_3(A_1, A_0) := - \int_{[0,1]} \text{Tr} F^2(\mathbb{A}) \in \Omega^3(Y^{11})$$

Using Stokes' theorem

$$\begin{aligned} dCS_3(A_1, A_0) &= -d \int_{[0,1]} \text{Tr} F^2(\mathbb{A}) \\ &= - \int_{[0,1]} d\text{Tr} F^2(\mathbb{A}) + (-1)^{(11-4)} \int_{\partial[0,1]} \text{Tr} F^2(\mathbb{A}) \\ (2.6) \quad &= 0 + \text{Tr} F^2(A_1) - \text{Tr} F^2(A_0) . \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to consider the C -field.

Invariance of the C -field. The C -field is invariant under the following transformations [DFM]: $A' = A + \alpha$ and $C'_3 = C_3 - CS_3(A, A + \alpha) + \Lambda_3$, where $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\text{ad}S(Y^{11}))$ and Λ_3 is a closed 3-form on Y^{11} . The Chern-Simons invariant takes values in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ so that it is not defined as a differential form unless exponentiated. The relative Chern-Simons invariant is defined as in (2.5).

If we include the Spin bundle $S(Y^{11})$ then we also have an invariance of the connection ω and a corresponding shift in the relative Chern-Simons form of ω . Thus we have

Proposition 2.7. The C -field is invariant under the following transformations

- (1) $\omega' = \omega + \beta$,
- (2) $A' = A + \alpha$,
- (3) $C'_3 = C_3 - CS_3(A, A + \alpha) + \frac{1}{2}CS_3(\omega, \omega + \beta) + \Lambda_3$,

where $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\text{ad}P)$, $\beta \in \Omega^1(\text{ad}S)$, and $\Lambda_3 \in \Omega^3(Y^{11})$ is a closed differential form on Y^{11} .

Note that in terms of differential characters, Λ_3 will be integral as in [DFM].

Harmonic part of the C -field. The C -field has a classical harmonic part, which we now characterize. The Bianchi identity and equation of motion for the C -field in M-theory are

$$(2.7) \quad dG_4 = 0$$

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{\ell_p^3} d * G_4 = \frac{1}{2} G_4 \wedge G_4 - I_8,$$

where I_8 is the one-loop term [VW] [DLM] $I_8 = \frac{1}{48} (p_2 - (\frac{1}{2}p_1)^2)$, a polynomial in the Pontrjagin classes p_i of Y^{11} , $*$ is the Hodge duality operation in eleven dimensions, and ℓ_p is the scale in the theory called the Planck constant. The classical (or low energy) limit given by eleven-dimensional supergravity, is obtained by taking $\ell_p \rightarrow 0$ and is dominated by the metric-dependent term. The other limit is the high energy limit probing M-theory and is dominated by the topological, i.e. metric-independent terms.

Let $\Delta_g^3 : (\Omega^3(Y^{11}), g) \rightarrow (\Omega^3(Y^{11}), g)$ be the Hodge Laplacian on 3-forms on the base Y^{11} with respect to the metric g given by $\Delta_g^3 = d d^* + d^* d$, where d^* is the adjoint operator to the de Rham differential operator d . Assuming $[G_4] = 0$ in $H^4(Y^{11}; \mathbb{R})$ so that $G_4 = dC_3$, then applying the Hodge operator on (2.8) gives

Proposition 2.8. In the Lorentz gauge, $d^* C_3 = 0$, we have

- (1) $\Delta_g^3 C_3 = *j_e$, where j_e is the electric current associated with the membrane given by

$$(2.9) \quad j_e = \ell_p^3 \left(\frac{1}{2} G_4 \wedge G_4 - I_8 \right).$$

- (2) C_3 is harmonic if $\ell_p \rightarrow 0$ and/or there are no membranes.

The space of harmonic 3-forms on Y^{11} is $\mathcal{H}_g^3(Y^{11}) := \ker \Delta_g^3 \subset \Omega^3(Y^{11})$. We would like to consider harmonic 3-forms on the Spin bundle $S(Y^{11})$. Let $\Delta_{g_\delta}^3 : (\Omega^3(S(Y^{11})), g_\delta) \rightarrow (\Omega^3(S(Y^{11})), g_\delta)$ be the Hodge Laplacian for 3-forms on $S(Y^{11})$ with respect to the metric g_δ . The harmonic forms, which are in $\ker \Delta_{g_\delta}^3$, on the Spin bundle can be calculated in the adiabatic limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$. The expression for $\ker \Delta_0^3 := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \ker \Delta_{g_\delta}^3$ was calculated in [R1], using the spectral sequence of [MaM], further developed in [D] [Fo]. Applying the results of [R1] to our case gives

Proposition 2.9. (1) When $\frac{1}{2}p_1(Y^{11}) \neq 0 \in H^4(Y^{11}; \mathbb{R})$, i.e. $[\text{Tr} R \wedge R] \neq 0 \in H^4(Y^{11}; \mathbb{R})$ then $\ker \Delta_0^3 = \pi^* \mathcal{H}_g^3(Y^{11}) \subset \Omega^3(S(Y^{11}))$.
 (2) When $\frac{1}{2}p_1(Y^{11}) = 0$ then

$$(2.10) \quad \ker \Delta_0^3 = \mathbb{R} [CS_3(\omega) - \pi^* h] \oplus \pi^* \mathcal{H}_g^3(Y^{11}) \subset \Omega^3(S(Y^{11})) ,$$

where $h \in \Omega^3(Y^{11})$ is the unique form such that $dh = \text{Tr} R \wedge R$, $h \in d^* \Omega^4(Y^{11})$.

We see that for the C -field in M-theory we have

Proposition 2.10. (1) When Y^{11} is a Spin manifold such that $\frac{1}{2}p_1(Y^{11}) \neq 0$, the little c -field is a harmonic form both on Y^{11} and on $S(Y^{11})$.
 (2) When Y^{11} is a String manifold, i.e. with $\frac{1}{2}p_1(Y^{11}) = 0$ in cohomology, so that $[G_4] = a$, then there is a gauge in which the 3-form part of the C -field is that defining a String class, as in the above discussion.

Remark 2.11. The combination of forms appearing in proposition 2.9 are exactly the ones also appearing in heterotic string theory. Indeed, the Chapline-Manton coupling is a statement about the String class.

The String class from the String condition on the target Y^{11} . From (2.2), we see that when $G_4 = \text{Tr} F \wedge F$ then $\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr} R \wedge R = dc$. At the level of cohomology this means that $\frac{1}{2}p_1(S, \omega) = 0$, i.e. that our space admits a String structure $\mathcal{S}$. Let us form the combination $CS_3(\omega) - \pi^* c \in \Omega^3(S)$. Consider a choice of String structure $\mathcal{S} \in H^3(S(Y^{11}); \mathbb{Z})$. From (2.10), using the results in [R1], the adiabatic limit of the harmonic representative of $\mathcal{S}$ is given by

$$(2.11) \quad [\mathcal{S}]_0 := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} [\mathcal{S}]_{g_\delta} = CS_3(\omega) - \pi^* c_3 \in \Omega^3(S),$$

where the form $c_3 \in \Omega^3(Y^{11})$ has the properties:

$$(2.12) \quad dc_3 = \frac{1}{2}p_1(S, \omega),$$

$$(2.13) \quad d^* c_3 = 0 \text{ (Lorentz condition) .}$$

Observation 2.12. Under these assumptions, the C -field can be identified with a String class.

Remark 2.13. Consider a change of the String structure $\mathcal{S}$. If the String structure is changed by $\xi \in H^3(Y^{11}; \mathbb{Z})$ then the cohomology class of the String structure changes, in the adiabatic limit, as

$$(2.14) \quad [\mathcal{S} + \pi^* \xi]_0 = [\mathcal{S}]_0 + \pi^* [\xi]_g .$$

Since ξ is a degree three cohomology class, the field strength G_4 does not see the change in String structure. However, at the level of the exponentiated C -field, i.e. at the level of holonomy or partition function of the membrane, there will be an effect. See the discussion leading to observation 2.16. This will be formalized and considered in more detail in a future work.

2.4. The String class from the membrane. Consider the embedding of the membrane $\Sigma^3 \hookrightarrow Y^{11}$ with normal bundle $\mathcal{N}$. The fields on the membrane worldvolume include a metric h , the pullback of the C -field and a spinor $\psi \in \Gamma(S(Y^{11})|_{\Sigma^3})$. Restricting $S(Y^{11})$ to Σ^3 gives the splitting

$$(2.15) \quad S(Y^{11})|_{\Sigma^3} = S(\Sigma^3) \otimes S^-(\mathcal{N}) \oplus S(\Sigma^3) \otimes S^+(\mathcal{N}),$$

where kappa symmetry— a spinorial gauge symmetry— requires the fermions to be sections of the first factor [BST]. Taking the membrane as an elementary object, the exponentiated action will contain a factor $\exp \left[i \int_{\Sigma^3} (C_3 + i \ell_p^{-3} \text{vol}(h)) \right]$. This is one part of the partition function, with another being the spinor part given by the Pfaffian of the Dirac operator. Neither of the factors in

$$(2.16) \quad Z_{M2} = \text{Pfaff}(D_{S(\Sigma^3) \otimes S^-(\mathcal{N})}) \exp \left[i \int_{\Sigma^3} (C_3 + i \ell_p^{-3} \text{vol}(h)) \right]$$

are separately well-defined, but the product is [W7]. Taking Σ^3 to be the boundary of a 4-manifold B^4 we get $\int_{B^4} G_4$ in place of the first factor in the exponent in (2.16). The partition function is independent of the choice of bounding manifold B^4 .

The quantization condition (1.1) for the C -field in M-theory was derived in [W7] by studying the partition function of the membrane of worldvolume M^3 embedded in spacetime Y^{11} . There, the manifold M^3 was assumed to be Spin. Here we notice that M^3 already admits a String structure because $\frac{1}{2}p_1(M^3) = 0$, by dimension reasons. Since this is automatic, one might wonder what is gained by assuming this extra structure. We will proceed with justifying this.

The idea is that while M^3 always admits a String structure, we can have more than one String structure. We have the following diagram

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) & \longrightarrow & B\text{String} & \longrightarrow & * \\ \uparrow & \nearrow \psi & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M^3 & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & B\text{Spin} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \end{array}$$

Choosing a String structure ψ is equivalent to trivializing $\lambda \circ \sigma$. If we fix one String structure ψ then any other is classified by maps from M^3 to $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$, which is $H^3(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$. If we take $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) = BK(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ then we can say that the set of String structures on M^3 is a torsor over the group of equivalence classes of gerbes on M^3 . From one given (equivalence class of) String structure we obtain for each (equivalence class of a) gerbe another (equivalence class of a) String structure. Notice that in the non-decomposable part of the C -field $c_3 + h_3$, h_3 is the curvature of the gerbe. It is closed as a differential form. We can see that there is a gerbe on the membrane worldvolume by taking the membrane to be of open topology and having a boundary on the fivebrane. There is then a gerbe connection $C_3 - db_2$, where b_2 is the chiral 2-form on the M5-brane worldvolume (see e.g. [AJ]). In this case we have the exponentiated action

$$(2.18) \quad \exp \left[i \left(\int_{\partial \Sigma^3} b_2 + i \int_{\Sigma^3} \ell_p^{-3} \text{vol}(h) \right) \right] .$$

(See also Remark 2.13 and the discussion around equation (2.25)).

Now we consider the bounding 4-dimensional space B^4 , $\partial B^4 = M^3$. Starting with a Spin M^3 , there are two cases to consider, according to whether B^4 is Spin or String. We will make use of an approach due to David Lipsky and to Corbett Redden. Let us start with the Spin case. Including B^4 in diagram (2.17) we get

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) & \longrightarrow & B\text{String} & \longrightarrow & * \\ \uparrow & \nearrow \psi & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M^3 & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & B\text{Spin} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \tau & & & \\ B^4 & & & & \end{array} .$$

Let CM^3 be the cone on M^3 . The fact that

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} M^3 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B\text{String} & \longrightarrow & * \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & B\text{Spin} & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy means precisely that there is a strictly commuting diagram

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & M^3 & \longrightarrow & * \\ & & \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow \\ M^3 & \xrightarrow{i_0} & M^3 \times I & \searrow & \\ \downarrow \psi & & & & \\ B\text{String} & & & & \\ \downarrow & & & & \\ B\text{Spin} & \longrightarrow & & & K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \end{array} .$$

Moreover, the cone is precisely the pushout

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M^3 & \longrightarrow & * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M^3 \times I & \longrightarrow & CM^3 \end{array} ,$$

through which, hence, diagram (2.21) factors

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & M^3 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * \\ & & \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow \\ M^3 & \xrightarrow{i_0} & M^3 \times I & \xrightarrow{\quad} & CM^3 \\ \downarrow \psi & & \searrow & & \downarrow C\psi \\ B\text{String} & & & & \\ \downarrow & & & & \\ B\text{Spin} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & & K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \end{array}$$

Here $C\psi$ is the extension of ψ from M^3 to the cone on M^3 . Therefore, we find that the following diagram

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \lambda \circ \sigma & & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & \\ M^3 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & CM^3 & \xrightarrow{C\psi} & * \\ \downarrow \hookrightarrow & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \\ B^4 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B^4 \cup_{M^3} CM^3 & \xrightarrow{\lambda(\tau, \psi)} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & \\ & & \lambda \circ \tau & & \end{array}$$

commutes. Note that the fact that ψ extends from M^3 to the cone of M^3 , as indicated, is crucially another incarnation of the fact that ψ is homotopic to the map through the point. The map ρ is equivalent to a String structure, and the map $\lambda(\tau, \psi)$ is the relative String class. Let $[B^4, M^3]$ be the relative fundamental class and $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ the pairing between cohomology and homology. For this pairing we will study integrality and (in)dependence on the choice of B^4 or structures on B^4 . The long exact sequence for relative cohomology is

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots \rightarrow H^3(M^3) & \longrightarrow & H^4(B^4, M^3) & \longrightarrow & H^4(B^4) & \longrightarrow & H^4(M^3) \cdots \\ \alpha\omega_3 & \mapsto & \lambda(\tau, \psi) + \partial(\alpha\omega_3) & \mapsto & \lambda(\tau) & , & \end{array}$$

where ω_3 is the volume form on M^3 and α is a real number. The sequence then is explained as follows. Given a choice of initial String structure on M^3 , any other choice will be given by the difference with multiples of the volume form ω_3 . Note that the only parameter which is varying is $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, let B'^4 be another bounding manifold with corresponding Spin structure τ' . Then, by the index theorem,

$$(2.26) \quad \int_{B^4} \frac{\lambda(\tau, \psi)}{24} - \int_{B'^4} \frac{\lambda(\tau', \psi)}{24} = x \in \mathbb{Z} ,$$

so that

$$(2.27) \quad \int_{B^4} \lambda(\tau, \psi) - \int_{B'^4} \lambda(\tau', \psi) = 24x, \ x \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

Now taking $e^{2\pi i}$ of both sides gives that the expression is an integer. So this together with what we explained above also gives that integral does not depend on the choice of B^4 or structures on B^4 , and we have the following

Proposition 2.14. In the case when M^3 is String and B^4 is Spin, the relative pairing $\langle \lambda(\tau, \psi), [B^4, M^3] \rangle = \int_{B^4} \lambda(\tau, \psi)$ is well-defined mod 24.

Now consider the case when B^4 also admits a String structure, so that $\int_{B^4} \lambda = 0$. In this case, the question simply reduces to a statement in cobordism of String 3-manifolds $\Omega_3^{(8)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}/24$ defined by $(M^3, \psi) \mapsto \int_{B^4} \lambda(\tau, \psi)$. Therefore

Proposition 2.15. In the case when both M^3 and B^4 are String manifolds, the relative pairing $\langle \lambda(\tau, \psi), [B^4, M^3] \rangle = \int_{B^4} \lambda(\tau, \psi)$ is well-defined mod 24.

Dependence of the membrane partition function on the String structure. Taking (2.16) into account and the fact mentioned above (in the proof of proposition 2.14 that changing the String structure of the membrane amounts to changing its volume, we have for membrane worldvolumes with String structure

Observation 2.16. The membrane partition function depends on the choice of String structure on the membrane worldvolume.

Remarks 2.17. (1) There are nonperturbative effects, namely instantons, resulting from membranes wrapping 3-cycles in spacetime. See for example [HM].
 (2) In the case of string theory, the partition function depends crucially on the Spin structure of the string worldsheet. Modular invariance requires summing over all such structures [SW]. The observations we made above then suggest that the membrane theory would require a careful consideration of dependence on the String structure, and possibly summing over such structures. We hope to address this important issue elsewhere.

The framing in Chern-Simons theory. We can look at the dependence of the membrane partition function on the String structure through the dependence of Chern-Simons theory on the choice of framing. The partition function of Chern-Simons theory on M^3 depends on [W4]: M^3 , the structure group G , the Chern-Simons coupling k , and a choice of framing f of the manifold. In particular, the semiclassical partition function, while independent of the metric, it does depend on the choice of framing, and different framings generally give different values for the partition function. However, there are transformations that map the value corresponding to one framing to the value corresponding to another. A framing of M^3 is a homotopy class of a trivialization of the tangent bundle TM^3 . Given a framing $f : M^3 \rightarrow TM^3$ of M^3 the gravitational Chern-Simons term can be defined as

$$(2.28) \quad I_{M^3}(g, f) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{M^3} f^* CS(\omega),$$

where g is the metric on M^3 , ω is the Levi-Civita connection on M^3 , and the integrand is the pullback via f of the Chern-Simons form on TM^3 .

In dimension three, there is an isomorphism between the String cobordism group Ω_3^{String} and the framed cobordism group Ω_3^{fr} . Thus, the study of Chern-Simons theory with a String structure is then equivalent to the study of Chern-Simons theory with a framing. Therefore, it is natural to consider a String structure in Chern-Simons theory, and hence on the membrane worldvolume, since the latter is essentially described by Chern-Simons theory.

We argue that not only is a String structure allowable, but is in fact *desirable*. This is because such a structure explains the framing anomaly in a very natural way. Under the transformation $I_{M^3} \rightarrow I_{M^3} + 2\pi s$, where s is the change in framing, the partition function transforms as [W4] $Z_{M^3} \rightarrow Z_{M^3} \cdot \exp(2\pi i s \cdot \frac{d}{24})$, for d a constant related to the level of the theory. This factor of 24, making the partition function essentially a 24th root of unity, is reflection of the fact that both the String- and the framed cobordism group are isomorphic in dimension three to $\mathbb{Z}/24$. Any Lie group G has two canonical String structures defined by the left invariant framing f_L and the right invariant framing f_R of the tangent bundle TG . For example taking M^3 to be $G = SU(2)$, there are three framings: a left framing and a right framing (related by orientation reversal) and a trivial framing given by taking $S^3 = \partial D^4$ to be the boundary of the 4-disk. The invariants associated to these framings are the images of Ω_3^{String} under the σ -map (the String orientation [AHS]) in $tmf^{-3} \cong \mathbb{Z}/24$. This map depends on the String structure in an analogous way that its more classical cousin, the Atiyah α -invariant, refining the $\hat{A}$ -genus from $\mathbb{Z}$ to KO , depends on the Spin structure. From the isomorphisms $\pi_3 S^0 \rightarrow \pi_3 M\text{String} \rightarrow \pi_3 tmf$ [Ho] these values are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega_3^{\text{String}} &\longrightarrow tmf^{-3} \\
 [SU(2), f_L] &\longmapsto -\frac{1}{24} \\
 [SU(2), f_R] &\longmapsto \frac{1}{24} \\
 (2.29) \quad [SU(2), \partial D^4] &\longmapsto 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The transformation of the partition function can then be seen more transparently using String cobordism. Thus, we get more confirmation to observation 2.16 and, in fact, we can also add

Observation 2.18. The dependence on framing of Chern-Simons theory (and hence also for the membrane partition function) can be seen more naturally within String cobordism. We thus conjecture that the membrane partition function takes values in (twisted) tmf .

Note that within Spin cobordism there would be no nontrivial expressions in dimension three. This is because $\Omega_3^{\text{Spin}} = 0$ and also the target for the Atiyah α -invariant, $KO_3(\text{pt})$, is also zero. Furthermore any generalization of α , for example to the Ochanine genus with target $KO_3(\text{pt})[[q]]$ would also be trivial.

Further relation to generalized cohomology. There is further a connection of the membrane partition function to generalized cohomology from another angle as follows. The Stiefel-Whitney class w_4 is the mod 2 reduction of $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}p_1$. This implies that λ is even if and only if $w_4 = 0$. The latter is in fact an orientation condition in real Morava E -theory $EO(2)$ [KS1] (worked out there for a different but

related purpose). Therefore, in order to remove the ambiguity in the quantization, we require $EO(2)$ -orientation.

Proposition 2.19. The membrane partition function is well-defined in $EO(2)$ -theory. In particular, G_4 is an integral class when the underlying spacetime is $EO(2)$ -oriented.

- Remarks 2.20.**
- (1) This means that eleven-dimensional spacetime backgrounds in M-theory with no fluxes should be $EO(2)$ -oriented.
 - (2) Note that $EO(2)$ is closely related to the theory EO_2 of Hopkins and Miller, which in turn is closely related to TMF .
 - (3) The M-theoretic partition function via E_8 gauge theory of [DMW] is considered for the String case in [S7].

The case when the Spin bundle is trivial. Consider the case when $S(Y^{11})$ is trivial as a principal bundle. This then means that there is a global section. Such a section $s : Y^{11} \rightarrow S(Y^{11})$ gives an isomorphism of principal bundles

$$(2.30) \quad S(Y^{11}) \xrightarrow{s} Y^{11} \times \text{Spin}(11) .$$

Using the fact that $H^i(\text{Spin}(11); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, the Künneth formula gives an induced isomorphism on integral cohomology

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} H^3(S; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong H^3(Y^{11}; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^3(\text{Spin}(11); \mathbb{Z}) \\ \mathcal{S} &\longleftrightarrow (0, 1_{\text{Spin}}) . \end{aligned}$$

The String structure is then determined by s and is an element $\mathcal{S} \in H^3(S; \mathbb{Z})$ which corresponds to the pullback of the generator $1_{\text{Spin}} \in H^3(\text{Spin}(11); \mathbb{Z})$.

Note that the differential d acting on $p^*CS_3(\omega)$ is $\frac{1}{2}p_1(\omega)$, which is the same as dc . This means that $[p^*CS_3(\omega) - c] \in H^3(Y^{11}; \mathbb{R})$. Consider the membrane worldvolume M^3 , taken as a 3-cycle $X \in \text{Map}(M^3, Y^{11})$. Then $p(X) \subset Y^{11} \times \{\text{pt}\} \subset S$ under the isomorphism (2.30) induced by the global section s . The triviality of the bundle implies that any class $\xi \in H^3(S; \mathbb{Z})$ is zero when evaluated on 3-cycles Σ^3 in $Y^{11} \subset S$, $\langle \xi, [s(\Sigma^3)] \rangle$, where $[s(\Sigma^3)]$ is the fundamental class of the 3-cycle $s(\Sigma^3)$ in $S(Y^{11})$. Then, in real cohomology

$$(2.32) \quad 0 = \int_{s(\Sigma^3)} [\xi]_0 = \int_{s(\Sigma^3)} (CS_3(\omega) - \pi^*c) = \int_{\Sigma^3} (s^*CS_3(\omega) - c) .$$

This holds for an arbitrary 3-cycle Σ^3 so that $[s^*CS_3(\omega) - c] = 0 \in H^3(Y^{11}; \mathbb{R})$. Now if $d^*(s^*CS_3(\omega)) = 0$, then since $d^*c = 0$, then $s^*CS_3(\omega) - c$ is harmonic, and hence zero, so $s^*CS_3(\omega) = c$. Therefore, in this case, following the general construction [R1] [R2], $s^*CS_3(\omega)$ and c are equal to the coexact and harmonic components, respectively.

The C -field as a Chern-Simons 2-gerbe. In [CJMSW] a Chern-Simons bundle 2-gerbe is constructed, realizing differential geometrically the Cheeger-Simons invariant [CS]. This is done by introducing a lifting to the level of bundle gerbes of the transgression map from $H^4(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ to $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$. A similar construction is given in [AJ]. Both groups of interest, $\text{Spin}(n)$ and E_8 are simply-connected, a fact that removes some subtleties from the discussion.

For any integral cohomology class in $H^3(\text{Spin}(n); \mathbb{Z})$, there is a unique stable equivalence class of bundle gerbes ([Mu] [MuS]) whose Dixmier-Douady class is the given degree three integral cohomology class. Geometrically $H^4(B\text{Spin}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ can be regarded as stable equivalence classes of bundle 2-gerbes over $B\text{Spin}(n)$, whose induced bundle gerbe over $\text{Spin}(n)$ has a certain multiplicative structure. More precisely, given a bundle gerbe $\mathcal{G}$ over $\text{Spin}(n)$, $\mathcal{G}$ is multiplicative if and only if its Dixmier-Douady class is transgressive, i.e., in the image of the transgression map $\tau : H^4(B\text{Spin}(n); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(\text{Spin}(n); \mathbb{Z})$ [CJMSW].

Consider a principal $\text{Spin}(n)$ -bundle P with connection A on a manifold M . For the Chern-Simons gauge theory canonically defined by a class in $H^4(B\text{Spin}(n); \mathbb{Z})$, there is a Chern-Simons bundle 2-gerbe $Q(P, A)$ associated with the P which is defined to be the pullback of the universal Chern-Simons bundle 2-gerbe by the classifying map f of (P, A) [CJMSW].

With the canonical isomorphism between the Deligne cohomology and Cheeger-Simons cohomology, the Chern-Simons bundle 2-gerbe $Q(P, A)$ is equivalent [CJMSW] in Deligne cohomology to the Cheeger-Simons invariant $S(P, A) \in \check{H}^3(M, U(1))$, which is the differential character that can be associated with each principal G -bundle P with connection A [CS]. A bundle gerbe version of the discussion of the invariance of the C -field in section 2.3 is provided by the following

Proposition 2.21 ([AJ] (also [CJMSW])). A Chern-Simons 2-gerbe is contained in the data of the C -field.

The metric torsion part of C -field. Connections with torsion come in various classes. Especially interesting is the case when the torsion is totally antisymmetric. In this case the new connection is metric and geodesic-preserving, and the Killing vector fields coincide with the Riemannian Killing vector fields. Connections with torsion arise in eleven-dimensional supergravity [E] and in heterotic string theory and type I [Str]. In the first case an ansatz is taken such that the little c -field is proportional to the torsion tensor $T \in \Omega^3(Y^{11})$, most prominently when Y^{11} is an S^7 bundle over 4-dimensional anti-de Sitter space AdS_4 , in which case the torsion is parallelizing – see [DNP]. In the second case, the H -field in heterotic string theory acts as torsion, which is important for compactification to lower dimensions [Str].

3. The M-theory Dual C -Field and Fivebrane Structures

3.1. The E_8 model for the dual of the C -field. In [DFM] the electric charge associated with the C field is studied. From the nonlinear equation of motion (2.8) of the C -field, the induced electric charge that is given by the cohomology class

$$(3.1) \quad \left[\frac{1}{2} G^2 - I_8 \right]_{DR} \in H^8(Y, \mathbb{R}).$$

In [DFM] the integral lift of (3.1) is studied and denoted $\Theta_Y(\check{C})$ (and also $\Theta_Y(a)$), where $\check{C} = (A, c)$.

A tubular neighbourhood of the M5-brane worldvolume V in Y is diffeomorphic to the total space of the normal bundle $N \rightarrow V$. Let $X = S_r(N)$ be the 10-dimensional sphere bundle of radius r , so that the fibers of $X \xrightarrow{\pi} V$ are 4-spheres.

An 11-dimensional manifold Y_r with boundary X is then constructed by removing from Y the disc bundle $D_r(N)$ of radius r . $Y_r = Y - D_r(N)$, the bulk manifold, is the complement of the tubular neighbourhood $D_r(N)$. There are two path integrals or wavefunctions:

- (1) The bulk C field path integral $\Psi_{bulk}(\check{C}_X) \sim \int \exp[G \wedge *G] \Phi(\check{C}_{Y_r})$ where the integral is over all equivalence classes of $\check{C}_{Y_r}$ fields that on the boundary assume the fixed value $\check{C}_X$. This wavefunction is a section of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on the space of $\check{C}_X$ fields.
- (2) The M5-brane partition function $\Psi_{M5}(\check{C}_V)$, which depends on the $\check{C}$ field on an infinitesimally small ($r \rightarrow 0$) tubular neighbourhood of the M5-brane.

In general, $\Psi_{bulk} \Psi_{M5}$ is not gauge invariant and therefore it is a section of a line bundle. However, one can consider a new partition function Ψ'_{M5} that is obtained from multiple M5-branes stacked on top of one another instead of just a single M5-brane. This stack gives rise to a twisted gerbe on V as follows [AJ]. In order for $\Psi_{bulk} \Psi'_{M5}$ to be well defined, the twisted gerbe has to satisfy

$$(3.2) \quad [CS(\pi_*(\Theta_X))] - [\vartheta_{ijkl}, 0, 0, 0] = [\mathbf{D}_H] + [1, 0, 0, C_V],$$

where, $CS(\pi_*(\Theta_X))$ is the Chern-Simons 2-gerbe associated with $\pi_*(\Theta_X)$ and a choice of connection on the E_8 bundle with first Pontryagin class $\pi_*(\Theta_X)$ (all other 2-gerbes differ by a global 3-form), while $[\vartheta_{ijkl}, 0, 0, 0]$ is the 2-gerbe class associated with the torsion class θ on V , $\beta(\vartheta) = \theta$, and $[1, 0, 0, C_V]$ is the trivial Deligne class associated with the global 3-form C_V . In particular (3.2) implies

$$(3.3) \quad \pi_*(\Theta_X) - \theta = \xi_{\mathbf{D}_H},$$

where the RHS is the characteristic class of the lifting 2-gerbe.

3.2. Twisted Fivebrane structures. Fivebrane structures are obtained by lifting String structures as follows.

Definition 3.1 ([SSS1][SSS2]). An n -dimensional manifold X has a *Fivebrane structure* if the classifying map $X \rightarrow BO(n)$ of the tangent bundle TX lifts to the classifying space $B\text{Fivebrane} := BO\langle 9 \rangle$.

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & BO(n)\langle 9 \rangle \\ & \nearrow \hat{f} & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & BO(n) \end{array}.$$

Theorem 3.2 ([SSS2]). (1) *The obstruction to lifting a String structure on X to a Fivebrane structure on X is the fractional second Pontryagin class $\frac{1}{6}p_2(TX)$.*

- (2) *The set of lifts, i.e. the set of Fivebrane structures for a fixed String structure in the real case, or the set of $BU\langle 9 \rangle$ structures for a fixed $BU\langle 7 \rangle$ structure in the complex case, is a torsor for a quotient of the seventh integral cohomology group $H^7(X; \mathbb{Z})$.*

Twisted Fivebrane structures. Twisted cohomology refers to a cohomology which is defined in terms of a twisted differential on ordinary differential forms. The twisted de Rham complex $\Omega^\bullet(X, d_{H_{2i+1}})$ means the usual de Rham complex but with the differential d replaced by $d_{H_{2i+1}} := d + H_{2i+1} \wedge$, which squares to zero by virtue of the Bianchi identity for H_{2i+1} , provided that H_{2i+1} is closed. The form on which this twisted differential acts would involve components that are $2i$ form-degrees apart, e.g. of the form $F = \sum_{n=0}^m F_{k+2in}$. The main case considered in [S6], and which corresponds to heterotic string theory, corresponds to $i = 3$, $k = 2$, and $m = 1$. There it was observed that, at the rational level, the (abelianized) field equation and Bianchi identity in heterotic string theory can be combined into an equation given by a degree seven twisted cohomology. This is discussed further in section 5. In [S6] it was also proposed that such a differential should correspond to a twist of what was there called a higher String structure and later in [SSS2] was defined, studied in detail and given the name Fivebrane structure.

Definition 3.3 ([SSS3]). A β -twisted Fivebrane structure on a brane $\iota : M \rightarrow X$ with String structure classifying map $f : M \rightarrow BO(n)\langle 8 \rangle$ is a cocycle $\beta : X \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 8)$ and a map $c : BO(n)\langle 8 \rangle \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 8)$ such that there is a homotopy η between $BO(n)\langle 8 \rangle$ and X ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & BO(n)\langle 8 \rangle \\ \downarrow \iota & \searrow \eta & \downarrow c \\ X & \xrightarrow{\beta} & K(\mathbb{Z}, 8) \end{array} .$$

The fractional class $\frac{1}{48}p_2$ show up in physics. As an application, which was originally the motivation:

Theorem 3.4 ([SSS3]). (1) *The dual formula for the Green-Schwarz anomaly cancellation condition on a String 10-manifold M is the obstruction to defining a twisted Fivebrane structure, with the twist given by $ch_4(E)$, where E is the gauge bundle with structure group $E_8 \times E_8$.*
 (2) *The integral class in M -theory dual to G_4 defines an obstruction to twisted Fivebrane structure, which is the obstruction to having a well-defined partition function for the M -fivebrane.*

The precise description actually requires some refinement of the Fivebrane structure to account for appearance of fractional classes such as $\frac{1}{48}p_2$ rather than $\frac{1}{6}p_2$. This is called $\mathcal{F}^{(9)}$ -structure in [SSS3]. Also, a corresponding **fivebrane** Lie 6-algebra is defined in [SSS3], where the description of the twist in terms of L_∞ -algebras is also given.

Higher bundles: The following applications are of interest (see [SSS1]).

1. Chern-Simons 3-forms arise as local connection data on 3-bundles with connection which arise as the obstruction to lifts of ordinary bundles to the corresponding String 2-bundles and are shown to be governed by the String Lie 2-algebra. For $\mathfrak{g}$ an ordinary semisimple Lie algebra and μ its canonical 3-cocycle, the obstruction to lifting a $\mathfrak{g}$ -bundle to a String 2-bundle is a Chern-Simons 3-bundle with characteristic class the Pontrjagin class of the original bundle.

2. The formalism immediately allows the generalization of this situation to higher degrees. Indeed, certain 7-dimensional generalizations of Chern-Simons 3-bundles obstruct the lift of ordinary bundles to certain 6-bundles governed by the Fivebrane Lie 6-algebra. The latter correspond what was defined in [SSS1] as the *Fivebrane structure*, for which the degree seven NS field H_7 plays the role that the degree three dual NS field H_3 plays for the $n = 2$ case. Using the 7-cocycle on $\mathfrak{so}(n)$, lifts through extensions by a Lie 6-algebra, defined as the Fivebrane Lie 6-algebra, is obtained. Accordingly, Fivebrane structures on String structures are indeed obstructed by the second Pontrjagin class.

3.3. Harmonic part of the dual of the C -field. Consider the equation of motion (2.8). Set $C_7 := *G_4$, so that we get

$$(3.5) \quad dC_7 = j_e,$$

where j_e is the electric current given in (2.9). Let

$$(3.6) \quad \Delta_g^7 : (\Omega^7(Y^{11}), g) \longrightarrow (\Omega^7(Y^{11}), g)$$

be the Hodge Laplacian on 7-forms on Y^{11} with respect to the metric g . Taking d^* of both sides of equation (3.5) we get

Proposition 3.5. In the Lorentz gauge, $d^* C_7 = 0$, we have

- (1) $\Delta_g^7 C_7 = *j_m$, where j_m is the fivebrane magnetic current, related to the electric current j_e (2.9) by

$$(3.7) \quad j_m = d(*j_e) .$$

- (2) C_7 is harmonic if $\ell_p \rightarrow 0$ and/or there are no fivebranes.

This is the degree seven analog of proposition 2.8. The geometry of the de Rham representatives of the Fivebrane class for the dual C -field is considered in [RS].

3.4. The integral lift. The quantization law (1.1) on the C -field leads to an integral lift of the electric charge, the left hand side of the equation of motion (2.8), as mandated by Dirac quantization. The lift is [DFM]

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} [G_8] &= \frac{1}{2} \left(a - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \right) \left(a - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \right) + I_8 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} a(a - \lambda) + 30 \hat{A}_8 . \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.6. Properties of $[G_8]$.

- (1) $[G_8]$ and $[G_4]$ obey the multiplicative structure on $K\text{Spin}$.
- (2) G_8 defines an obstruction to having a (twisted) Fivebrane structure.

The first part is proved in [S7] and the second part in [SSS3]. Let us expand a bit on the first point. The quadratic refinement defined in [DFM] is encoded in the multiplicative structure in the K-theory for Spin bundles. Starting with a real unoriented bundle ξ , the condition $w_1(\xi) = 0$ turns ξ into an oriented bundle, and the condition $w_2(\xi) = 0$ further makes ξ a Spin bundle. Obviously then, a real O -bundle becomes a Spin bundle when $W = 0$, and so the kernel of W is the reduced group $\widetilde{K\text{Spin}}(X)$. Thus W fits into the exact sequence [LD]

$$(3.9) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{K\text{Spin}}(X) = \ker W \longrightarrow \widetilde{KO}(X) \xrightarrow{W} H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \times H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) .$$

Among the properties of this class proved in **[DFM]** is that it is a quadratic refinement of the cup product of two degree four classes a_1 and a_2

$$(3.10) \quad \Theta(a_1 + a_2) + \Theta(0) = \Theta(a_1) + \Theta(a_2) + a_1 \cup a_2.$$

We would like to look at this from the point of view of the structure on the product of the cohomology groups $H^4(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) \times H^8(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$. For this we consider the two classes a and $\Theta(a)$ as a pair $(a, \Theta(a))$ in $H^4(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) \times H^8(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$. Then the linearity of the addition of the degree four classes a and the quadratic refinement property (3.10) of $\Theta(a)$ can both be written in one expression in the product $H^4(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) \times H^8(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$, which makes use of the ring structure, namely

$$(3.11) \quad (a_1, \Theta(a_1)) + (a_2, \Theta(a_2)) = (a_1 + a_2, \Theta(a_1) + \Theta(a_2) + a_1 \cup a_2).$$

The second entry on the RHS is just $\Theta(a_1 + a_2) - \Theta(0)$, and so it encodes the property (3.10).

We can define the shifted class $\Theta^0(a)$ as the difference $\Theta(a) - \Theta(0)$, so that (3.11) is replaced by

$$(3.12) \quad (a_1, \Theta^0(a_1)) + (a_2, \Theta^0(a_2)) = (a_1 + a_2, \Theta^0(a_1 + a_2)),$$

corresponding to the special case

$$(3.13) \quad \Theta^0(a_1 + a_2) = \Theta^0(a_1) + \Theta^0(a_2) + a_1 \cup a_2.$$

This is then just a realization of the multiplication law on $H^4(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) \times H^8(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$ which, for (a, b) in the product group, is

$$(3.14) \quad (a_1, b_1) + (a_2, b_2) = (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2 + a_1 \cup a_2).$$

Note that in order to get this law we had to use the modified eight-class $\Theta^0(a)$, or alternatively discard $\Theta(0) = 30\hat{A}_8$.

We now make the connection to Spin K-theory. Similarly to the case of other kinds of bundles, e.g. complex or real, one can get a Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of Spin bundles up to equivalence. The reduced $K\text{Spin}$ group of a topological space can be defined as $\widetilde{K\text{Spin}}(X) = [X, B\text{Spin}]$. For the case of $B\text{Spin}$, we will be interested in relating Spin K-theory to cohomology of degrees 4 and 8. Such a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$(3.15) \quad Q_X : \widetilde{K\text{Spin}}(X) \rightarrow H^4(X; \mathbb{Z}) \times H^8(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

is defined by **[LD]** $Q_X(Q_1(\xi), Q_2(\xi))$ for $\xi \in \widetilde{K\text{Spin}}(X)$, where Q_1 and Q_2 are the Spin characteristic classes of **[Th]**. For two bundles ξ and γ in $\widetilde{K\text{Spin}}(X)$, and for $k \leq 3$,

$$(3.16) \quad Q_k(\xi \oplus \gamma) = \sum_{i+j=k} Q_i(\xi) \cup Q_j(\gamma).$$

Remark 3.7. The above multiplicative structure is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -analog (or $4k$ -analog) of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -structure in the case of KO -theory. Given a topological space X , let $\widetilde{KO}(X)$ be the reduced KO group for X and let

$$(3.17) \quad W : \widetilde{KO}(X) \longrightarrow H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \times H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

be the map $W(\xi) = (w_1(\xi), w_2(\xi))$, where $w_i(\xi)$ denotes the i -th Stiefel-Whitney class of $\xi \in \widetilde{KO}(X)$. There is a group structure on $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \times H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ making W a homomorphism, i.e. a map that preserves the group structure.

3.5. Invariance of the dual C -field. From (3.8) we see that we can write the dual C -field at the level of differential forms as

$$(3.18) \quad G_8 = \frac{1}{2}G_4 \wedge G_4 - I_8 + dc_7.$$

3.5.1. *Case I: Trivial cohomology, no one-loop term.* In this case we have $\frac{1}{2}p_1 = 0 = \frac{1}{6}p_2$. From (3.18) we have $dC_7 = \frac{1}{2}G_4 \wedge G_4 + dc_7$. When $C_3 = CS_3(A)$ we get $C_7 = \frac{1}{2}CS_3(A) \wedge G_4$.

3.5.2. *Case II: $\frac{1}{2}p_1 = 0$.* The invariance of G_8 will include the invariance of the three terms in (3.18), hence invariance of G_4 , of the Pontrjagin classes of $S(Y^{11})$, and of the differential form $C_7 \in \Omega^7(Y^{11})$. Therefore, we have

Proposition 3.8. The dual field G_8 is invariant under the following transformations:

- (1) The invariances of the C -field from proposition 2.7,
- (2) $C_7 \mapsto C_7 + \Lambda_7$,
- (3) $CS_7(\omega) \mapsto CS_7(\omega) + \lambda_7$,

where Λ_7 and λ_7 are closed differential forms in $\Omega^7(Y^{11})$.

As in the case for the C -field, the expressions above when recast in terms of differential characters will result in requiring Λ_7 and λ_7 to be closed integral forms, i.e. to be in $\Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^7(Y^{11})$.

The Fivebrane class.

Remark 3.9. The Fivebrane class can be discussed in a manner that is very similar to that of the String class. The change of Fivebrane structure $\mathcal{F}$ can be seen from the M-theory fivebrane, similarly to the way the String structure $\mathcal{S}$ is seen from the membrane.

Here we assume that spacetime is ten-dimensional. We relate the dual H -field H_7 to the degree seven Chern-Simons form CS_7 above via a class C_7 that we introduce. Consider the principal bundle

$$(3.19) \quad \text{String}(n) \rightarrow \text{STRING}(M) \rightarrow M,$$

where $\text{STRING}(M)$ is the total space of the $\text{String}(n)$ bundle on our ten-dimensional spacetime M corresponding to heterotic string theory. Recall that this is one of the two bundles in that theory, namely the one obtained from the lift of the tangent bundle (non-gauge one). We can build a class C_7 out of the Chern-Simons 7-form $CS_7(A)$ on $\text{STRING}(X)$ for the given connection 1-form A on the gauge bundle as

$$(3.20) \quad C_7 = CS_7(A) - \pi^* H_7,$$

with $dCS_7 = \pi^*(p_2(M))$ and such that $\int_{\Sigma_7} C_7 = 1$ where Σ_7 is a fundamental 7-cycle in the fiber. Similarly to the Spin case [ASi], we have

Proposition 3.10. If X is 6-connected, C_7 represents a generator of $H^7(\text{STRING}(M); \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. C_7 is closed since

$$\begin{aligned}
 dC_7 &= dCS_7(A) - d\pi^*H_7 \\
 &= \pi^*(p_2(M)) - \pi^*dH_7 \\
 &= \pi^*(p_2(M) - dH_7) \\
 (3.21) \quad &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the homotopy exact sequence

$$(3.22) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \pi_i(\text{String}(n)) \rightarrow \pi_i(\text{STRING}(M)) \rightarrow \pi_i(M) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(\text{String}(n)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

corresponding to the bundle (3.19). Assuming $\pi_i(M) = 0$ for $i \leq 6$, the sequence gives that $\pi_7(\text{STRING}(M)) \cong \pi_7(\text{String}(n)) = \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, C_7 represents a generator of $H^7(\text{STRING}(M), \mathbb{Z})$. $\square$

Remark 3.11. If M is ten-dimensional and is 6-connected then it is topologically the ten-dimensional sphere. This follows from Poincaré duality and the Poincaré conjecture in ten dimensions. The first gives that the cohomology groups in degrees 8 and 9 are the same as those in degrees 2 and 1, respectively, and hence are zero by 6-connectedness. M , having cohomology groups $\mathbb{Z}$ in degrees 0 and 10, is a homology ten-sphere. However, by the Poincaré conjecture, which is a theorem in ten dimensions, M must be the sphere S^{10} itself.

3.6. Higher differential characters. We consider the space $\text{Map}(Z, M)$, with Z of dimension six. The space $\text{Map}(M, \text{STRING}(M))$ is a bundle over $\text{Map}(Z, M)$ with structure ‘group’ $\text{Map}(M, \text{String}(10))$. Let

$$(3.23) \quad \tilde{e}v : Z \times \text{Map}(Z, \text{STRING}(M)) \rightarrow \text{STRING}(M)$$

be the evaluation map.

Proposition 3.12. There exists a Cheeger-Simons differential 6-character B_6 with $dB_6 = C_7$ and such that $\tilde{e}v^*B_6$ exponentiates to a differential 0-character on $\text{Map}(Z, \text{STRING}(M))$ with values in $U(1)$.

Proof. This is analogous to [ASi] where the degree two case is established. Our case corresponds to replacing B_2 with B_6 and the spin condition with the String condition. For a trivial map $\tilde{\Phi}_0 : Z \rightarrow P_0 \in \text{STRING}(M)$, let γ be a path from $\tilde{\Phi}_0$ to $\tilde{\Phi} \in \text{Map}(Z, \text{STRING}(M))$ so that γ maps the interval $[0, 1]$ times Z to $\text{STRING}(M)$ with $\gamma(1) = \tilde{\Phi}$. This path exists since $\pi_i(\text{STRING}(M)) = 0$ for $i \leq 6$.

The function $\tilde{e}v^*B_6$ will be given by

$$(3.24) \quad \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{\gamma([0,1] \times Z)} C_7 \right) = \exp \left(2\pi i \int_{\gamma([0,1])} \alpha_1 \right)$$

with α_1 is the one-form $\int_Z \tilde{e}v^*C_7$. The function is independent of the path γ : if γ_1 is another path then $\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma$ is a map of $S^1 \times Z \rightarrow P_0$ and

$$(3.25) \quad \int_{(\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma)(S^1 \times Z)} C_7 = \int_{\gamma(S^1 \times Z)} C_7 - \int_{\gamma_1(S^1 \times Z)} C_7 \in \mathbb{Z},$$

i.e. α_1 represents an integral 1-cocycle. Since C_7 represents an element of $H^7(\text{STRING}(M); \mathbb{Z})$, there exists a Cheeger-Simons differential 6-character B_6 with $dB_6 = C_7$. Then $\int_Z \tilde{e}v^*B_6$ exponentiates to a differential 0-character on $\text{Map}(Z, \text{STRING}(M))$ with

values in S^1 . □

Proposition 3.13. The curvature of the 0-character $\tilde{ev}^* B_6$ is the one-form α_1 , which can be interpreted as a flat connection on a circle bundle over $\text{Map}(Z, \text{STRING}(M))$.

Remarks 3.14. 1. We consider the effect of gauge transformation on H_7 . Changing H_7 to $H_7 + d\beta_6$ leads to a shift in B_6 as $B_6 + \beta_6$.

2. The topological term

$$(3.26) \quad I = \int_Z dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^6 B_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_6} \partial_1 X^{\mu_1} \cdots \partial_6 X^{\mu_6}$$

can be interpreted as $\log \int_Z \tilde{ev}^* B_6$, in analogy with the case of the string.

3. Similar results can be extrapolated to eleven dimensions.

3.7. Mapping Space Description. As we recalled in the introduction, String structures on a space M is related to Spin structure on the loop space LM . Is there a corresponding statement about Fivebrane structures? We do not fully answer this question here but we do give possible scenarios.

In the case of String structure, the string class on the loop space LM is obtained by pull-back of the second Chern character, $ch_2(E)$, of a bundle E on M to give a bundle LE on LM via the evaluation map

$$(3.27) \quad ev : S^1 \times LM \rightarrow M,$$

so that the String class is $\int_{S^1} ev^* ch_2(E)$, where $\int_{S^1} : H^*(S^1 \times LX; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(LM; \mathbb{C})$ is the integration along S^1 .

Now the idea is to generalize this to the case of the Fivebrane structure. We see two directions for doing so:

- (1) Replace the second Chern character ch_2 by a higher degree Chern character ch_q , $q > 2$, and keeping the same evaluation map (3.27). This will yield higher degree analogs of the String class, but *still* on the loop space LM .
- (2) In addition, replace the circle S^1 by a higher-dimensional space Y so that the loop space $LM = [S^1, M]$ is replaced by a higher degree generalization $\text{Map}[Y, M]$, the space of maps from Y to M .

We start with the first. Here, for a vector bundle E over M , one has the higher degree analogs of the String class as

$$(3.28) \quad C^q(LE) = -(2\pi i)^{q+1} q! \int_{S^1} ev^* ch_{q+1}(E),$$

which gives a class of degree $2(q+1) - 1 = 2q - 1$ on LM . Such a generalization of the usual String structure has been defined in [As]. Kuribayashi in [Ku] finds fairly special conditions under which it is still true that $C^p(LE) = 0$ if and only if $ch_{p+1}(E) = 0$, namely when $H^*(M; \mathbb{R})$ is a tensor product of truncated polynomial and exterior algebras. This generalizes McLaughlin's result [Mc] in the case $p = 1$ for the usual String structure, where $\frac{1}{2}p_1(P) = 0$ implies a String structure on a bundle P on M only when $\pi_2(M) = 0$.

An example of the higher classes (3.28) is the first term in the anomaly polynomial

$$(3.29) \quad dH_7 = 2\pi \left[\text{ch}_2(A) - \frac{1}{48}p_1(\omega)\text{ch}_2(A) + \frac{1}{64}p_1(\omega)^2 - \frac{1}{48}p_2(\omega) \right],$$

where A and ω are the connections on the gauge bundle V and the tangent bundle TM , respectively. Note that we can use $p = 3$ in (3.28) to get a degree seven class upon integration over the circle $\int_{S^1} ev^* ch_4(E)$, which gives $\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{4!} C^3(LV) + \text{decomposables} + \text{non-gauge factors}$.

In this case the fivebrane class can be described as follows. We have, for $n \geq 5$, the isomorphism

$$(3.30) \quad \int_{S^1} \circ ev^* : H^8(B\text{String}(n); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^7(LB\text{String}(n); \mathbb{Z}).$$

Since $H^7(B\text{String}(n); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H^7(\text{String}(n); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, we have that the image in (3.30) is $\mathbb{Z}$. In terms of the space itself, the evaluation map and integration over the circle give

$$(3.31) \quad \int_{S^1} ev^* : H^8(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^7(LM; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Next we consider the second case. In addition, replace the circle S^1 by a higher-dimensional space Y so that the loop space $LM = [S^1, M]$ is replaced by a higher degree generalization $\text{Map}[Y, M]$. Then what replaces the evaluation map (3.27) is $ev : Y \times \text{Map}[Y, M] \rightarrow M$ and (3.28) would then become $\int_Y ev^* ch_{p+1}(E)$. The result will be a class on $\text{Map}[Y, M]$ of degree $2p - \dim Y$. Obviously, when $p = 1$ and $\dim Y = 1$, we get back the String case. We will discuss further aspects of the general case in section 3.8.

There are two special cases of interest, the first when Y is a torus and the second when Y is a sphere. Let the dimension of Y be m and that of X be n . Then the two cases are

- $Y = T^m$: This gives $\text{Map}[T^m, M] = L^m M$, the higher iterated loop spaces of M , i.e. $L^m M = LL \cdots LX^n$ (m times). This is the iterated loop space of M which is obtained by looping on X m times. The bundle replacing the loop bundle of the String case will be a bundle with structure group the *toroidal group* $\text{Map}[T^m, G] = L^m G$.
- $Y^m = S^m$: In this case the space to consider is $\text{Map}[S^m; M]$, corresponding to the homotopy groups $\pi_m(M)$ of M . Such spaces, at least for low m , have been studied in connection to gauge theory in physics in [Mi].

In the physical situation under consideration, Y can be taken to be the spatial part W^5 of the fivebrane worldvolume in spacetime of dimension ten for the heterotic fivebrane and dimension eleven for the M5-brane. Then, for $p = 3$, the integration over the worldvolume yields a degree three class on the fifth loop space $L^5 X^{10}$ (likewise for eleven dimensions). The homotopy groups of the two groups, G and $L^m G$ are related by $\pi_n(L^m G) = \pi_{n+m}(G) \oplus \pi_n(G)$, so that, in particular, $\pi_3(L^5 G) = \pi_8(G) \oplus \pi_3(G)$. For example, 7-connectedness of G would be the same as 2-connectedness of $L^5 G$.

3.8. Determinantal 6-gerbes. We can look at the relation to higher gerbes by looking at the structure *on* the worldvolume itself. Consider the case when the worldvolume has five compact dimensions, i.e. time is considered to be $\mathbb{R}$. The Fivebrane structure can be described in terms of a 5-gerbe in general. In the special case of an *index 5-gerbe*, this can be interpreted as the degree five part of the Family's index theorem for Dirac operators [Lo] [BKTV].

The construction of the index 1-gerbe is given in [Lo] where also general features of the higher gerbes are given. The construction for those is given in [BKTV] which we follow below. The degree two component of the families index theorem is given by the first Chern class, or the curvature, of a determinant line bundle. There is an obstruction to realizing the component of the families index theorem of degree higher than two as a curvature of some geometric object. This, however, is automatic if we choose our spacetime X to be 5-connected. so X is homeomorphic to S^{10} , and the explicit construction is given in [Lo] in this case. The corresponding Deligne classes form a countable sets corresponding to different trivializations of the index bundle on the five-skeleton of the triangulation of X and are labeled by $\bigoplus_{j=1}^2 H^{5-2j}(X; \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

Assume Y^m to be a compact oriented C^∞ -manifold of dimension m over which we have a smooth complex vector bundle E . Then the space of sections ΓE of the bundle is expected to give rise to a *determinantal 5-gerbe* $\text{Det}\Gamma(E)$, generalizing the determinant line bundle [BKTV]. Thus, in the case of the fivebrane, if we take the spatial part then the currently perceived wisdom leads us to a determinantal 5-gerbe and if we take the even-dimensional spacetime then we are led to a determinantal 6-gerbe.

We now consider a family of fivebranes by considering the map to spacetime $q : W^5 \rightarrow X^{10}$ of relative dimension 5 and a C^∞ bundle E on W^5 . Then the characteristic class of the 5-gerbe would be a class in $H^6(X^{10}, C_{X^{10}}^{\infty*}) = H^7(X^{10}, \mathbb{Z})$. The class of the determinantal 6-gerbe in complex cohomology should be thought of as a 6-fold delooping of the usual first Chern (determinantal) class. Still following [BKTV], the Real Riemann-Roch formula gives

$$(3.32) \quad C_1(q_*E) = \int_{W^5} [ch(E) \wedge \text{Td}(TW^5)]_{12} \in H^7(X^{10}, \mathbb{C}),$$

where we are integrating the degree twelve part of the index formula over the five-dimensional spatial part of the fivebrane worldvolume to get a degree seven class. This involves the Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}$ over a complex envelope of W^5 . In the smooth category, we just replace the Todd class Td in (3.32) with the $\hat{A}$, the roof-genus of TW^5 .

4. The Gauge Algebra of Supergravity in $6k - 1$ Dimensions

Five-dimensional $SO(2)$ supergravity on a five-dimensional Spin manifold X is the theory obtained by coupling pure supergravity in five dimensions to an $SO(2)$ vector multiplet [CN][C]. The former is made of a metric g on X and a Rarita-Schwinger field ψ , which is a section of the spin bundle SX coupled to the tangent bundle TX , $\psi \in \Gamma(SX \otimes TX)$. The latter contains an $SO(2)$ -valued, hence abelian, one-form C_1 with curvature two-form $G_2 = dC_1$. The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{(5)}$ will have

- a bosonic part $\mathcal{L}_{(5),\text{bos}}$ for the bosonic fields (g, G_2) ,
- a fermionic part $\mathcal{L}_{(5),\text{ferm}}$ for the fermionic field Ψ ,
- and an interaction part $\mathcal{L}_{(5),\text{int}}$ for the terms that are mixed in the bosonic and fermionic fields.

In $\mathcal{L}_{(5)} = \mathcal{L}_{(5),\text{bos}} + \mathcal{L}_{(5),\text{ferm}} + \mathcal{L}_{(5),\text{int}}$ we will consider only the bosonic part, given by the five-form

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_{(5),\text{bos}} = R * \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2} G_2 \wedge * G_2 - \frac{1}{6} G_2 \wedge G_2 \wedge C_1,$$

where $*$ is the Hodge duality operator on differential forms in five dimensions, and R is the scalar curvature of the metric g of X .

Eleven-dimensional supergravity [CJS] has some common features with five-dimensional supergravity [C] [CN], described above. The bosonic field content is the same, except that the potential C_3 , replacing C_1 , is now of degree three so that the corresponding field strength G_4 is of degree four. The Hodge dual in eleven dimensions to G_4 is G_7 . The bosonic part of the Lagrangian is given by the eleven-form

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_{(11),\text{bos}} = R * \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2} G_4 \wedge * G_4 - \frac{1}{6} G_4 \wedge G_4 \wedge C_3 .$$

From here on we treat both theories at the same time. We thus take X to be a $(6k-1)$ -dimensional Spin manifold on which we define a supergravity with Chern-Simons term built out of the potential C_{2k-1} , with corresponding field strength G_{2k} . The value $k=1$ corresponds to the five-dimensional case and the value $k=2$ to the eleven-dimensional case.

The equations of motion are obtained from the Lagrangian via the variational principle. The variation $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{(6k-1),\text{bos}}}{\delta C_{2k-1}} = 0$ for C_{2k-1} gives the corresponding equation of motion

$$(4.3) \quad d * G_{2k} + \frac{1}{2} G_{2k} \wedge G_{2k} = 0 .$$

We also have the Bianchi identity

$$(4.4) \quad dG_{2k} = 0 .$$

The second order equation (4.3) can be written in a first order form, by first writing $d(*G_{2k} + \frac{1}{2}C_{2k-1} \wedge G_{2k}) = 0$ so that

$$(4.5) \quad * G_{2k} = G_{4k-1} := dC_{4k-2} - \frac{1}{2} C_{2k-1} \wedge G_{2k} ,$$

where C_{4k-2} is the potential of G_{4k-1} , the Hodge dual field strength to G_{2k} in $6k-1$ dimensions.

The action $S_{\text{bos}} = \int_X \text{dvol}(X) \mathcal{L}_{\text{bos}}$, and hence the equations of motion, are invariant under the abelian gauge transformation $\delta C_{2k-1} = d\lambda_{2k-2}$, where λ_{2k-2} is a $(2k-2)$ -form. We can alternatively write the gauge parameter as $\Lambda_{2k-1} = d\lambda_{2k-2}$. In fact, the first order equation (4.5) is invariant under the infinitesimal gauge transformations

$$(4.6) \quad \delta C_{2k-1} = \Lambda_{2k-1}, \quad \delta C_{4k-2} = \Lambda_{4k-2} - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{2k-1} \wedge C_{2k-1},$$

where Λ_{4k-2} is the $(4k-2)$ -form gauge parameter satisfying $d\Lambda_{4k-2} = 0$. Applying two successive gauge transformations with different parameters Λ_i and Λ'_i , $i = 2k-1, 4k-2$, for the first and second one, respectively, and forming the commutators gives

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} [\delta_{\Lambda_{2k-1}}, \delta_{\Lambda'_{2k-1}}] &= \delta_{\Lambda''_{4k-2}}, \\ [\delta_{\Lambda_{2k-1}}, \delta_{\Lambda_{4k-2}}] &= 0, \\ [\delta_{\Lambda_{4k-2}}, \delta_{\Lambda'_{4k-2}}] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

with the new parameter $\Lambda''_{4k-2} = \Lambda_{2k-1} \wedge \Lambda'_{2k-1}$. Note that the transformations are nonlinear, and this can be tracked back to the presence of the Chern-Simons form in the Lagrangian (4.1).

We now introduce generators v_{2k-1} and v_{4k-2} for the Λ_{2k-1} and Λ_{4k-2} gauge transformations, respectively. On the generators, from the commutation relations (4.7), we get the graded Lie algebra

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \{v_{2k-1}, v_{2k-1}\} &= -v_{4k-2}, \\ [v_{2k-1}, v_{4k-2}] &= 0, \\ [v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-2}] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

Note that we can use a graded commutator, which unifies a commutator and an anticommutator, so that the above algebra (4.8) becomes

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} [v_{2k-1}, v_{2k-1}] &= -v_{4k-2}, \\ [v_{2k-1}, v_{4k-2}] &= 0, \\ [v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-2}] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where it is now understood that we are using graded commutators. The generators satisfy the following properties

- (1) The generators v_{2k-1} and v_{4k-2} are constant: $dv_{2k-1} = 0 = dv_{4k-2}$.
- (2) The grading on the generators v_{2k-1} and v_{4k-2} follow that of the potentials A_{2k-1} and A_{4k-2} , respectively. Hence, v_{2k-1} is odd and v_{4k-2} is even. Thus, $d(v_{2k-1}\alpha) = -v_{2k-1}d\alpha$ and $d(v_{4k-2}\alpha) = v_{4k-2}d\alpha$, for any α .

We will think of these “generators” v_i as elements of a graded Lie algebra, where we will write $C_{2k-1} \otimes v_{2k-1}$, etc. instead of just C_{2k-1} for the fields (see the discussion around equation (4.26)).

The field strengths can be combined into a total uniform degree field strength $\mathcal{G}$ by writing

$$(4.10) \quad \mathcal{V} = e^{C_{2k-1} \otimes v_{2k-1}} e^{C_{4k-2} \otimes v_{4k-2}},$$

so that

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &= dC_{2k-1} \otimes v_{2k-1} + (dC_{4k-2} - \frac{1}{2}C_{2k-1} \wedge dC_{2k-1}) \otimes v_{4k-2} \\ &= G_{2k} \otimes v_{2k-1} + G_{4k-1} \otimes v_{4k-2} \\ &= G_{2k} \otimes v_{2k-1} + *G_{2k} \otimes v_{4k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note the analogy with usual (i.e. not higher-graded) nonabelian gauge theory. $\mathcal{V}$ is the analog of g and $\mathcal{G} = d\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}^{-1}$ is the analog of $dg g^{-1}$.

The equation of motion for C_{2k-1} (= Bianchi identity for C_{4k-2}) and the Bianchi identity for C_{2k-1} are obtained together from

$$(4.12) \quad d\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{G} = -d\mathcal{V} \wedge d\mathcal{V}^{-1} - d\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}^{-1} \wedge d\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}^{-1} = 0.$$

Indeed, using the commutators (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} \wedge \mathcal{G} &= (G_{2k} \otimes v_{2k-1}) \wedge (G_{2k} \otimes v_{2k-1}) + (G_{2k} \otimes v_{2k-1}) \wedge (*G_{2k} \otimes v_{4k-2}) \\ &\quad + (*G_{2k} \otimes v_{4k-2}) \wedge (G_{2k} \otimes v_{4k-2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[G_{2k} \otimes v_{2k-1}, G_{2k} \otimes v_{2k-1}] + [G_{2k} \otimes v_{2k-1}, *G_{2k} \otimes v_{4k-2}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}G_{2k} \wedge G_{2k} \otimes [v_{2k-1}, v_{2k-1}] - G_{2k} \wedge *G_{2k} \otimes [v_{2k-1}, v_{4k-2}] \\ (4.13) \quad &= -\frac{1}{2}G_{2k} \wedge G_{2k} \otimes v_{4k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (4.12) follows from the equation of motion (4.3) and the Bianchi identity (4.4), which indeed correspond, respectively, to the coefficient of v_{2k-1} and v_{4k-2} in the expression for $d\mathcal{G}$. The case $k = 2$, corresponding to eleven-dimensional supergravity, was derived in [CJLP].

4.1. Models for the M-Theory Gauge Algebra. In the previous section we have seen that G_4 and its dual can be written in terms of the total uniform degree field strength $\mathcal{G}$, the generators in which satisfy an algebra. It is natural to ask about the nature of the generators and the graded structure in which they result. In this section we provide a description in terms of homotopy (or higher-categorical) Lie algebras: L_∞ -algebras based on the constructions in [SSS1], and superalgebras corresponding to (1|1) supertranslations.

4.2. The gauge algebra as an L_∞ -algebra. One connection to L_∞ -algebras is the appearance of higher form abelian Chern-Simons theory. Recall that for $\mathfrak{g}$ any semisimple Lie algebra and $\mu = \langle \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot] \rangle$ the canonical 3-cocycle on it, we call $\mathfrak{g}_\mu$ the corresponding (skeletal version of the) string $_\mu(\mathfrak{g})$ Lie 2-algebra. Similarly, for $\mathfrak{g}$ any semisimple Lie algebra and μ_7 the canonical 7-cocycle on it, we call $\mathfrak{g}_\mu$ the corresponding (skeletal version of the) Fivebrane Lie 6-algebra [SSS1] [SSS3].

Reminder on L_∞ -algebra valued differential forms. Recall from [SSS1] that for $\mathfrak{g}$ any L_∞ -algebra with $\text{CE}(\mathfrak{g})$ its Chevalley-Eilenberg differential graded commutative algebra (DGCA), the space of GCA-morphisms $\text{CE}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \Omega(X)$ is isomorphic to the degree zero elements in the graded vector space $\Omega^\bullet(X) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is in negative degree. Flat L_∞ -algebra valued forms can be realized as graded tensor products $A \in \Omega^\bullet(Y) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ of forms with L_∞ -algebra elements with the special property that

- A is of total degree 0,
- A satisfies a flatness constraint of the form

$$(4.14) \quad dA + [A \wedge A] + [A \wedge A \wedge A] + \cdots = 0,$$

where d and $\wedge$ are the operations in the deRham complex and where $[\cdot, \cdots, \cdot]$ are the n -ary brackets in the L_∞ -algebra.

It is usually more convenient to shift $\mathfrak{g}$ by one into non-positive degree (hence with the usual Lie 1-algebra part in degree 0) and accordingly take A to be of total degree 1.

Recall one of the central constructions of [SSS1] involving the Weil algebra $W(\mathfrak{g})$. For $\mathfrak{g}$ any L_∞ -algebra with degree $(n+1)$ -cocycle μ that is in transgression with an invariant polynomial P

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & P \longleftarrow P \\ \uparrow d_{CE(\mathfrak{g})} & & \uparrow d_{W(\mathfrak{g})} \\ \mu & \longleftarrow & cs \end{array}$$

$$CE(\mathfrak{g}) \longleftarrow W(\mathfrak{g}) \longleftarrow W(\mathfrak{g})_{\text{basic}}$$

we can form the String-like extension Lie n -algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\mu$ and the corresponding Chern-Simons Lie $(n+1)$ -algebra $cs_P(\mathfrak{g})$ with the property that $W(\mathfrak{g}_\mu) \simeq CE(cs_P(\mathfrak{g}))$. In [SSS1] this construction was of interest for the case that μ was a nontrivial cocycle on a semisimple Lie 1-algebra. Another interesting case in which the construction works is when an invariant polynomial P suspends to 0, i.e. if it is in transgression with the 0-cocycle $\mu = 0$.

Notice that in particular all decomposable invariant polynomials $P = P_1 \wedge P_2$, for P_1 and P_2 nontrivial and with transgression elements cs_i , $d_{W(\mathfrak{g})}cs_i = P_i$, suspend to 0, since for them we can choose the Chern-Simons element $cs = cs_1 \wedge P_2$, which vanishes in $CE(\mathfrak{g})$ because P_2 does, by definition:

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & P_1 \wedge P_2 \longleftarrow P_1 \wedge P_2 \\ \uparrow d_{CE(\mathfrak{g})} & & \uparrow d_{W(\mathfrak{g})} \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & cs_1 \wedge P_2 \end{array}$$

$$CE(\mathfrak{g}) \longleftarrow W(\mathfrak{g}) \longleftarrow W(\mathfrak{g})_{\text{basic}}$$

Higher abelian Chern-Simons forms. A very simple but useful example are the decomposable invariant polynomials on shifted $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ in an even number of shifts: $b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1)$, for k any positive integer. In this case

$$(4.17) \quad CE(b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1)) = (\bigwedge^{\bullet}(\underbrace{\langle c \rangle}_{2k-1}), d = 0)$$

and

$$(4.18) \quad W(b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1)) = (\bigwedge^{\bullet}(\underbrace{\langle c \rangle}_{2k-1} \oplus \underbrace{\langle g \rangle}_{2k}), dc = g, dg = 0).$$

The invariant polynomials are all the wedge powers $g, g \wedge g, g \wedge g \wedge g$ of the single indecomposable one $P := g$

$$(4.19) \quad \text{inv}(b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1)) = (\bigwedge^{\bullet}(\underbrace{\langle P \rangle}_{2k}), dP = 0).$$

Notice that in this case the CE-algebra of the “String-like extension” $b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mu=0}$ is that of $b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus b^{4k-1}\mathfrak{u}(1)$:

$$(4.20) \quad \text{CE}(b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1)_{\mu=0}) = \text{CE}(b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus b^{4k-3}\mathfrak{u}(1)) .$$

Abelian Chern-Simons L_∞ -algebras. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive integer. Then the Lie $(2k-2)$ -algebra $b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1)$ has a decomposable degree $4k$ invariant polynomial P_{4k} which is the product of two copies of the standard degree $2k$ -polynomial. The corresponding Chern-Simons Lie $(4k-1)$ -algebra $\text{cs}_{P_{4k}}(b^{2(k-1)}\mathfrak{u}(1))$ is given by the Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra of the form

$$(4.21) \quad \text{CE}(\text{cs}_{P_{4k}}(b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1))) = \left(\bigwedge^\bullet (\langle c_{2k-1}, g_{2k}, c_{4k-2}, g_{4k-1} \rangle), d \right)$$

where

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} dc_{2k-1} &= g_{2k} , \\ dc_{4k-2} &= c_{2k-1} \wedge g_{2k} + g_{4k-1} , \\ dg_{2k} &= 0 , \\ dg_{4k-1} &= g_{2k} \wedge g_{2k} . \end{aligned}$$

This has a canonical morphism onto

$$(4.23) \quad \text{CE}(b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus b^{4k-3}\mathfrak{u}(1)) = \left(\bigwedge^\bullet (\langle c_{2k-1}, c_{4k-2} \rangle), d = 0 \right)$$

with respect to which we can form the invariant or basic polynomials

$$(4.24) \quad \text{CE}(b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus b^{4k-3}\mathfrak{u}(1)) \xleftarrow{i^*} \text{CE}(\text{cs}_{P_{4k}}(b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1))) \xleftarrow{\text{basic}(i^*)} \text{basic}(i^*) .$$

This is the DGCA

$$(4.25) \quad \text{basic}(i^*) = \left(\bigwedge^\bullet (\underbrace{\langle g_{2k} \rangle}_{2k} \oplus \underbrace{\langle g_{4k-1} \rangle}_{4k-1}), (dg_{2k} = 0, dg_{4k-1} = g_{2k} \wedge g_{2k}) \right) .$$

We consider the L_∞ -algebra $\mathfrak{sa}$ which admits the above as its Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra. This $\mathfrak{sa}$ is a graded Lie algebra with generators v_3 and v_6 in degree 3 and 6, respectively, and with the graded Lie brackets being

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} [v_3, v_3] &= v_6 \\ [v_3, v_6] &= 0 \\ [v_6, v_6] &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Flat differential form data with values in this L_∞ -algebra is given by a degree 1-element $A = G_4 \otimes v_3 + G_7 \otimes v_6 \in \Omega^\bullet(X) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, where

- G_{2k} a closed $2k$ -form;
- G_{4k-1} is a $4k-1$ -form satisfying $dG_{4k-1} = G_{2k} \wedge G_{2k}$.

In total we have that a Cartan-Ehresmann connection with respect to i^* is given by differential form data as follows:

(4.27)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Omega_{\text{vert}}^\bullet(Y) & \xleftarrow{A_{\text{vert}}} & \text{CE}(b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus b^{4k-3}\mathfrak{u}(1)) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow i^* \\
 \Omega^\bullet(Y) & \xleftarrow{(A, F_A)} & \text{CE}(\text{cs}_{P_{4k}}(b^{2k-2}\mathfrak{u}(1))) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \Omega^\bullet(X) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \text{basic}(i^*)
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 G_{2k} = dC_{2k-1} \\
 G_{4k-1} = dC_{4k-2} + C_{2k-1} \wedge G_{2k} \\
 \\
 dG_{2k} = 0 \\
 dG_{4k-1} = G_{2k} \wedge G_{2k}
 \end{array}$$

This can be regarded as a certain Cartan-Ehresmann connection for the product of a line $(2k-1)$ -bundle and a line $4(k-2)$ -bundle

The situation for 11-dimensional supergravity. The local gauge connection data of 11-dimensional supergravity is given by a 3-form C_3 with curvature 4-form $G_4 = dC_3$ which can be captured in a duality-symmetric manner by regarding C_3 as the data giving a flat connection with values in the abelian Chern-Simons Lie 6-algebra obtained by setting $k=2$, subject to a self-duality constraint: $A = G_4 \otimes v_3 + (*G_4) \otimes v_6$. The flatness condition satisfied by this is then equivalent to the equations of motion for G_4

$$(4.28) \quad (dA + [A \wedge A] = 0) \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} dG_4 = 0 \\ d * G_4 = -\frac{1}{2} G_4 \wedge G_4 \end{array} \right\}$$

Therefore,

Theorem 4.1. *The C -field and its dual in M-theory define an L_∞ -algebra as their gauge algebra.*

4.3. The gauge algebra as a Superalgebra. We next interpret the M-theory gauge algebra in another novel way. The commutator of v_3 with v_6 and that of v_3 and v_6 are zero. Furthermore, the commutator of two v_3 's gives v_6 , it is natural to suspect that each one of the two generators belongs to a different subspace in some grading. Indeed, these are the even and odd gradings, and we have

Proposition 4.2. The generators v_3 and v_6 form a Lie superalgebra of translations in $(1|1)$ dimensions.

Remark 4.3. This is analogous to the generator $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, where x is an even coordinate and θ is an odd coordinate. Thus we see an analog of the supersymmetric quantum mechanical relation $\{Q, Q\} = \mathcal{H}$, where Q is the supercharge and $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hamiltonian of the system.

5. Duality-Symmetric Twists

In the twisted cohomology setting one can form uniform degree expressions for both the fields, e.g. the cohomology classes, and the twisted differential. In this section we consider twists of degrees higher than the familiar three. Also, given the discussion of uniform degree fields in the previous section, it is natural to use these for more exotic twists.

5.1. Degree seven twists. The NS H -field in type II string theory serves as the twist in the K-theoretic classification of the RR fields. This involves unifying the fields of all degrees into one total RR field. We investigate, based on [S6], the case of heterotic string theory where there are $E_8 \times E_8$ or $\text{Spin}(32)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge fields in addition to the H -field.

a. Rationally: Considering the gauge field and its dual as a unified field, the equations of motion at the rational level contain a twisted differential with a novel degree seven twist. Consider the case where the Yang-Mills group G , is broken down to an abelian subgroup, thus making the curvature F_2 be simply dA . The manifolds M^{10} are chosen such that this breaking via Wilson lines (= line holonomy) is possible. The result of the variation of the action $S = \int H_3 \wedge *H_3 + \int F_2 \wedge *F_2$ with respect to A gives $(d - H_7 \wedge)\mathcal{F} = 0$, where $\mathcal{F} = F_2 + *F_2$ is defined as the combined curvature, and H_7 is equal to $*H_3$ at the rational level, in analogy with the RR fields. In this analysis, following [S6], we used the ‘‘Chapline-Manton coupling’’ $H_3 = CS_3(A)$, where $CS_3(A)$ is the Chern-Simons three-form for the connection A , whose curvature is F_2 . This gives a twisted differential $d_{H_7} = d - H_7 \wedge$ which in nilpotent, i.e. squares to zero, $d_{H_7}^2 = 0$, since H_7 is closed.

(i) Let v_n denote the n th generator of the complex oriented cobordism ring. Consider the case $n = 2$ and let $R = \mathbb{R}[[v_2, v_2^{-1}]]$ be a graded ring. The generator v_n has dimension $2p^n - 2$, so that at the prime $p = 2$, v_2 has dimension 6. Let $d_{H_7} = d - v_2^{-1}H_7$ be the twisted de Rham differential of uniform degree one. Denote by $\Omega_{d_{H_7}}^i(M^{10}; \mathbb{R})$ the space of d_{H_7} -closed differential forms of total degree i on M^{10} . The total curvature $\mathcal{F}$ is an element of degree two, $i = 2$, in the above space of forms. The equation $d_{H_7}\mathcal{F} = 0$ defining the complex is just the Bianchi identity and the equation of motion of the separate fields. Another possibility is to use the combination $\mathcal{F} = u_1^{-1}F_2 + u_2^{-1}F_8$, where u_1 has degree two and u_2 has degree eight.

(ii) The second step is to ask whether the argument at the level of rational cohomology generalizes to some rational generalized cohomology theory. A twisting of complex K-theory over M is a principal $BU_\otimes$ -bundle over M . From $BU_\otimes \equiv K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times BSU_\otimes$, the twisting is a pair $\tau = (\delta, \chi)$ consisting of a determinantal twisting δ , which is a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ -bundle over M and a higher twisting χ , which is a $BSU_\otimes$ -torsor. Twistings are classified, up to isomorphism, by a pair of classes $[\delta] \in H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $[\chi]$ in the generalized cohomology group $H^1(X, BSU_\otimes)$. The former is twisted K-theory, where the twist is given by the Dixmier-Douady (DD) class. The twistings of the rational K-theory of X are classified, up to isomorphism, by [Te] the group $\prod_{n \geq 1} H^{2n+1}(M; \mathbb{Q})$. This shows that, in addition to the usual $H^3\mathbb{Q}$ -twisting, one can in principle have twistings from $H^5\mathbb{Q}$ and $H^7\mathbb{Q}$ etc. It is thus possible that a degree seven twist comes from complex K-theory. However, it is not obvious how to isolate just the degree seven part from the tower of all $n > 3$ odd-dimensional twistings.

b. Integrally: The above generalizes the usual degree three twist that lifts to twisted K-theory and raises the natural question of whether at the integral level the abelianized gauge fields belong to a generalized cohomology theory.

(i) The appearance of the higher degree generator connects nicely with the discussion in [KS1][KS2] [KS3] on generalized cohomology in type II (and to some extent type I) string theories. Further the appearance of the $w_4 = 0$ condition in [KS1], interpreted in [S4] in the context of F-theory, which is a condition in heterotic string theory, is another hint for the relevance of generalized cohomology in the heterotic theory. Given the appearance of elliptic cohomology through $W_7 = 0$ and the H_7 -twist, then the condition $W_7 + [H_7] = 0$ is expected to make an appearance, which would give rise to some notion of twisted structure in a similar way that the analogous condition $W_3 + [H_3] = 0$ of [FW] amounts to a twisted Spin^c structure. This structure that we seek would be related to a twisted String structure, but is not quite the same but is implied by it, since the String orientation condition implies $W_7 = 0$ via the action of the operation Sq^3 . Further, we expect the modified condition to correspond to a differential d_7 in the AHSS of twisted generalized theories, possibly Morava K-theory and elliptic cohomology, since the ‘untwisted’ differential is the first nontrivial differential there, in analogy to the ‘twist’ for d_3 generated by $[H_3]$ in the K-theory AHSS.

Conjecture 5.1. The cohomology class $W_7 + [H_7]$ corresponds to a differential in twisted Morava K-theory and twisted Morava E -theory, where $[H_7]$ acts as the twist.

This is a generalization of the statement that $W_3 + [H_3]$ corresponds to the differential $d = Sq^3 + [H_3] \cup$ in twisted K-theory. Of course the construction of such twisted generalized theories is not yet established. Nevertheless we note the following.

(ii) In general, twists of a cohomology theory E are classified by $BGL_1(E)$, i.e. the twisted forms of $E^*(X)$ correspond to homotopy classes of maps $[X, BGL_1(E)]$. An equivalent way of saying this, which the more familiar one in the context of K-theory, is that the twists are classified by $B\text{Aut}(E)$, where $\text{Aut}(E)$ is the automorphism group of E . The homotopy groups of $BGL_1(E)$ are given as units of the ring $E^0(\text{pt})$ in degree 1, and as $E^{k-1}(\text{pt})$ in degree $k > 1$. For K-theory, $K^0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$ gives $\pi_0 BGL_1(K) = \mathbb{Z}/2$, $K^2(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$ gives $\pi_3 BGL_1(K) = \mathbb{Z}$, which is detected by a map $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow BGL_1(K)$ giving the standard degree twist. In addition, there is $\pi_7 BGL_1(K) = \mathbb{Z}$.

(iii) Another possibility is the following. Twists of TMF are classified by $BGL_1(TMf)$. This may have nontrivial homotopy in degree 7 coming from the homotopy in degree 6 of the connective theory tmf .²

5.2. Duality-symmetric twists in ten-dimensional string theory. In type II string theory one encounters the Ramond-Ramond (RR) fields $F = \sum_i F_i u^{-i}$, where u is the Bott generator and i is the degree of the RR field, which is even for type IIA and odd for type IIB. This satisfies the equation $d_{H_3} F = 0$, where d_{H_3}

²The homotopy groups of the spectrum tmf and those of its periodic version TMF are related as $\pi_*(TMF) = \pi_*(tmf) [(\Delta^{24})^{-1}]$, where Δ is the discriminant of elliptic curves.

is the twisted differential, whose uniform degree expression is $d_{H_3} = d + u^{-1}H_3\wedge$. Here H_3 is the Neveu-Schwarz (NS) 3-form. This is explained very well in [Fr2].

As we saw in section 5.1, in [S6] a degree seven twist was uncovered in heterotic and type I string theory, where the twist is given by the dual H_7 of the usual NS H -field H_3 in ten dimensions. The differential is of the form $d_{H_7} = d + v^{-1}H_7\wedge$. In this theory, for instance for $v = u^3$, one can form the uniform total degree one field strength [Fr2]

$$(5.1) \quad H = u^{-1}H_3 + u^{-3}H_7 ,$$

with corresponding potentials, or B -fields, of total degree zero $B = u^{-1}B_2 + u^{-3}B_6$.

Given that the total field strengths are built of more than one component, we can ask whether the corresponding differential of uniform degree might be built out of a twist that has more than one component. Consider a candidate twisted de Rham differential with an expression of the form

$$(5.2) \quad d_H = d + u^{-1}H_3 \wedge + u^{-3}H_7 \wedge .$$

The square is d_H^2 contains the terms that are zero because d_{H_3} and d_{H_7} are differentials. In addition, there is the cross-term $u^{-4}(H_3 \wedge H_7 + H_7 \wedge H_3)$, which is zero by antisymmetry of the wedge product. Of course, another way to immediately see this is to write d_H as $d_{H_3} + u^{-3}H_7\wedge$ or as $d_{H_7} + u^{-1}H_3\wedge$. Thus one can build a twisted graded de Rham complex out of such a differential.

Remarks. 1. In fact, one can build a differential by adding to d_H all expressions of the form $u^{-i}H_{2i+1}\wedge$, i.e.

$$(5.3) \quad d'_H = d + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u^{-i}H_{2i+1} \wedge .$$

2. As differential forms, the u are constant, i.e. $du = 0$. We can conceive of two modifications of this: First consider the generators appearing in front of the H_{2i+1} to be independent. For example, in [S6], instead of (5.1), we used the expression ³

$$(5.4) \quad H = v_{(1)}^{-1}H_3 + v_{(2)}^{-1}H_7 ,$$

where $v_{(1)}$ is still the Bott generator and $v_{(2)}$ is the generalization of that generator, i.e. identified as coming from a complex-oriented generalized cohomology theory at the prime $p = 2$. In this case it still holds that $v_{(1)}$ and $v_{(2)}$ are constants as differential forms.

From the above discussion the following immediately follows.

Proposition 5.2. There is a twisted graded de Rham complex with differential $d + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{(i)}^{-1}H_{2i+1}\wedge$, provided the differential forms H_{2i+1} are closed. The coefficients $v_{(i)}$ are constant as differential forms and can be taken to be either dependent or independent.

³ In section 4 we used v_i to indicate a generator of degree i , so to make a distinction we are using the notation $v_{(i)}$ to indicate a generator of level i in complex-oriented generalized cohomology. We understand that the first notation is more standard for the second notion, but since this is the only occurrence of the higher Bott generators, then we hope it will not cause a confusion.

One can associate analytic torsion [MWu] to this type of twisted de Rham complex and a spectral sequence for the corresponding twisted cohomology [LLW].

5.3. Duality-symmetric twists in eleven-dimensional M-theory. In M-theory the situation is much more interesting. In this case we will see that we can have twists of even degree and the generators are not independent in the sense that they satisfy relations. Some aspects of this discussion have been observed in [S1] [S2] [S3].

In the low energy limit of M-theory, in addition to the metric and the gravitino, there is the C -field C_3 with field strength G_4 . We can build a differential with G_4 a twist as follows. The square of the expression $d_{G_4} = d + v_3 G_4 \wedge$ is ⁴

$$(5.5) \quad d_{G_4}^2 = d^2 + d(v_3 G_4 \wedge) + v_3 G_4 \wedge d + v_3 G_4 \wedge v_3 G_4 \wedge .$$

On the right hand-side of (5.5), the first term is always zero since the bare d is the de Rham differential. For the second term we need to decide whether v_3 is even or odd as a differential form. Since G_4 is even we see that we have to choose v_3 to be odd in order to cancel the third term. In addition, for the left-over from the second term to be zero, G_4 has to be closed. The last term has no other term against which to cancel, so it has to be zero by itself. We need v_3 to be idempotent. This can be achieved either by the fact that the form degree is odd or by the stronger condition that it squares to zero, i.e. that it is a Grassmann variable. The above discussion generalizes in an obvious way to the case when the coefficient has degree $2i - 1$ and the field has degree $2i$. Therefore we have

Proposition 5.3. The de Rham complex can be twisted by a differential of the form $d + v_{2i-1} G_{2i} \wedge$ provided that G_{2i} is closed and v_{2i-1} is Grassmann algebra-valued.

In M-theory one can consider the field dual to the C -field. This is a field strength G_7 , which at the rational level is Hodge dual to G_4 . We can use G_7 to twist the de Rham differential in the same way that H_7 did. Furthermore, in the same way as in (5.4) one could form a duality-symmetric uniform degree field strength $G = v_3^{-1} G_4 + v_6^{-1} G_7$. This expression can now be used to twist the de Rham differential, leading to

$$(5.6) \quad d_G = d + G \wedge = d + v_3^{-1} G_4 \wedge + v_6^{-1} G_7 \wedge .$$

The conditions for (5.6) to be a differential are given in the following.

Proposition 5.4. The de Rham complex can be twisted by the differential d_G provided that either

- (1) $dG_7 = 0$ and $v_3^2 = 0$, or
- (2) $\{v_3, v_3\} = v_6$ and $dG_7 = -\frac{1}{2} G_4 \wedge G_4$.

Furthermore, this differential on $\mathcal{G}$ is equivalent to the equations of motion and the Bianchi identity of the C -field.

⁴In this section we have suppressed the tensor product between generators and fields for ease of notation.

Remarks 5.5. 1. The first case would hold when there is no Chern-Simons term in the M-theory action.

2. The second case arises in M-theory and realizes the equation of motion for the C -field. While this is what appears in M-theory, mathematically we can have combination of even and odd fields of any degrees

$$(5.7) \quad d + v_{2m-1}^{-1} G_{2n} + v_{2m}^{-1} G_{2m+1} .$$

3. The generators in proposition 5.4 have appeared in [CJLP] in the context of the M-theory gauge algebra (which is generalized in section 4). What we have done above is relate them to twisted cohomology.

6. M-brane Charges and Twisted Topological Modular Forms

6.1. Evidence for TMF.

6.1.1. Construction of anomaly-free partition functions. The E -theoretic partition function in type IIA.

The K-theoretic partition function encounters an anomaly [DMW], given by the seventh integral Stiefel-Whitney class W_7 , whose cancellation [KS1] is the orientation condition in elliptic cohomology for Spin manifolds, and in second integral Morava K-theory at $p = 2$ $\tilde{K}(2)$ (cf. [Mor]) for oriented manifolds. The above class W_7 is the result of applying the Steenrod square operation Sq^3 on w_4 , the fourth $\mathbb{Z}/2$ Stiefel-Whitney class or, equivalently, the result of applying the Bockstein operation $\beta = Sq^1$ on the degree six class $Sq^2 w_4$, $W_7 = Sq^3(w_4) = \beta Sq^2(w_4)$, by the Adem relation $Sq^3 = Sq^1 Sq^2$.

Theorem 6.1 ([KS1]). (1) *A 10-manifold X is orientable with respect to $\tilde{K}(2)$ iff $W_7(X) = 0$.*

(2) *The M-theory partition function is anomaly-free when constructed on $\tilde{K}(2)$ -orientable spaces.*

Similar results hold also for Morava $E(2)$ -theory. In [KS1] an elliptic refinement of the mod 2 index j is obtained. Assuming that X is orientable with respect to a real version $EO(2)$ of $E(2)$ -theory, there is an $EO(2)$ -orientation class $[X]_{EO(2)} \in EO(2)_{10}(X)$. Now for $x \in E^0(X)$, the class $x\bar{x}$ lifts canonically to $EO(2)^0(X)$, so $j(x) = \langle x\bar{x}, [X]_{EO(2)} \rangle \in EO(2)_{10}$, the right hand side being $EO(2)_{10} = \mathbb{Z}/2[v_1^3 v_2^{-1}]$ by [HK1]. The assumption on $EO(2)$ -orientation is made precise:

Theorem 6.2 ([KS1]). (1) *A spin manifold X is orientable with respect to $EO(2)$ if and only if it satisfies $w_4(X) = 0$, where w_4 is the fourth Stiefel-Whitney class.*

(2) *When $w_4 \neq 0$, this uncovers another anomaly to the existence of an elliptic cohomology partition function.*

Remark 6.3. The class w_4 is the mod 2 reduction of the integral class $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}p_1$, so that the vanishing of λ implies the vanishing of w_4 , which in turn implies the vanishing of W_7 . Therefore, the String orientation condition $\lambda = 0$ is a necessary condition for the cancellation of the DMW anomaly.

There is a “character map” $E \rightarrow K[[q]][q^{-1}]$ where q is a parameter of dimension 0, with $K[[q]]$ a product of infinitely many copies of K , and the notation $[q^{-1}]$ signifies that q is inverted. The map is determined by what happens on coefficients [AHS].

Theorem 6.4 ([KS1]). *The refined partition function is a one-parameter family of theta functions. At the prime $p = 2$, $v_{(1)}^3 v_{(2)}^{-1}$ is of dimension zero and serves as the expansion parameter q .*

The E -theoretic partition function in type IIB. The construction of the partition function in the IIB case is analogous to that of type IIA. Instead of $K^1(X)$, one starts with $E^1(X)$ where E is a complex-oriented elliptic cohomology. The construction proceeds precisely analogously as in the $K^1(X)$ case. However, the discussion of the phase is delicate. First there is the pairing in $E^1(X)$: $E^1(X) \otimes E^1(X) \rightarrow E^2(X) \rightarrow E^{-8} = E^0$ where the second map is capping with the fundamental orientation class in $E_{10}(X)$. To construct a θ -function, a quadratic structure is needed, which amounts to considering real elliptic cohomology: A product of an $x \in E^1(X)$ with itself can be given a real structure, which gives rise to an element of $\omega(x) \in \mathbb{E}R^{1+\alpha}X$, which when capped with the fundamental orientation class in $\mathbb{E}R_{10}(X)$ gives an element in $E^{\alpha-9}$. This is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -vector space generated by the classes $v_{(1)}^{3n-1} v_{(2)}^{2-n} \sigma^{-4} a^2$, $n \geq 1$ [HK1]. Therefore,

Theorem 6.5 ([KS2]). *There is a quadratic structure depending on one free parameter, which leads to a precise IIB analog of the θ -function constructed for IIA using real elliptic cohomology in [KS1].*

TMF and the type IIB fields. A particularly convenient combination of the two degree-three fields in type IIB string theory is $G_3 = F_3 - \tau H_3$, where τ is the parameter on the upper half plane. This is a field with modular weight -1 since it transforms as $G'_3 = G_3 \cdot (c\tau + d)^{-1}$ under $\tau' = (a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d)$. In tmf , a class of modular weight k appears in $tmf^{2k}(X^{10})$. Therefore,

Proposition 6.6 ([KS3]). *The fields of type IIB string theory as elements in tmf , satisfy $\tilde{G}_3 \in tmf^{-2}X^{10}$.*

This points to the 12-dimensional picture: suppose, in the simplest possible physical scenario [V] that $V^{12} = X^{10} \times E$ where E is an elliptic curve, then $G_3 \times \mu \in tmf^0(V^{12})$ where $\mu \in tmf^2(E)$ is the generator given by orientation. It is consistent that the class ends up in dimension 0 and no odd number shows up. Modular classes of weight 0, however, must be in dimension 0. The mathematical interpretation of τ appears only when we apply the forgetful map $E^k(X) \rightarrow K^k(X)[[q^{1/24}]] [q^{-1/24}]$ with $q = \exp(2\pi i\tau)$. In fact, it is necessary to generalize to an elliptic cohomology theory E which is in general modular only with respect to some subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. For forms with such modularity, fractional powers of q are needed: in the case of complex-oriented cohomology, one encounters $q^{1/24}$. The map $E \rightarrow K[[q^{1/24}]] [q^{-1/24}]$ whose induced map on coefficients (homotopy groups) makes the k -th homotopy group modular of weight $k/2$ is not the correct normalization to use because then $\tilde{E}^0(S^k) = E^{-k}(\text{pt})$ would have modular weight $-k/2$ and not 0. The correct normalization is given by composition with Adams operations (or alternatively with Ando operations [A]) $\psi^\eta : K[[q^{1/24}]] [q^{-1/24}] \rightarrow K[[q^{1/24}]] [q^{-1/24}]$, where η is the Dedekind function ($\Delta^{1/24}$ where Δ is the discriminant form), which is a unit in $K[[q^{1/24}]] [q^{-1/24}]$. For general k , multiplication by η^k is needed.

More on elliptic curves and F-theory. Roughly speaking, there is an elliptic cohomology theory for every elliptic curve. There is no universal elliptic curve over

a commutative ring, so on what basis should one ‘favor’ one elliptic curve over the other, and hence one elliptic cohomology over the other? If one considers all elliptic curves at once then the corresponding generalized cohomology theory is tmf , which is then, in a sense, the universal ‘elliptic’ cohomology theory. However, the price to pay is that tmf is *not* an elliptic cohomology theory and also not even-periodic. For more on this see e.g. [Lu]. In our identification of the F-theory elliptic curve with the elliptic curve in elliptic cohomology (after suitable reduction of coefficients), we hence, along the lines of [S4], expect elliptic curves with a fixed modulus in F-theory to correspond to elliptic cohomology while ones with a modulus parameter varying in the base of the elliptic fibration, i.e. families, to correspond to tmf . It is then tempting to propose that tmf sees all possible compactifications of F-theory on an elliptic curve, i.e. all admissible elliptic fibrations.

S-duality and twisted K-theory are not compatible. Type IIB string theory has a duality symmetry, S-duality, which is analogous to electric/magnetic duality in gauge theory. In the presence of H_3 , the description of the RR fields of type IIB using twisted K-theory is not immediately compatible with S-duality. The origin of this is that the RR fields are considered as elements of K-theory while the S-dual field H_3 is taken to be a cohomology class, leading to the breaking of the symmetry. Furthermore, type IIB string theory has a five-form in place of the four-form in type IIA and in M-theory. In [DMW] the apparent puzzle about the incompatibility of twisted K-theory and S-duality is raised. A definite statement is proved in [KS2]. The condition for anomaly cancellation for F_3 is $(Sq^3 + H_3) \cup F_3 = 0$, which is not invariant under the full $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ group. The direct $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -invariant extension of the above equation is [DMW] $F_3 \cup H_3 + \beta Sq^2(F_3 + H_3) = 0$. One immediate question is that of justification (and interpretation) of the nonlinear term $\beta Sq^2 H_3 = H_3 \cup H_3$. The point is to exhibit this as a differential, or obstruction for the cohomological pair (H_3, F_3) to lift to the theory.

The usual requirement that twisted K-theory be a *module* over K-theory, which forces the ‘structure group’ of the bundle of K-theories in question to be the multiplicative infinite loop space $GL_1(K)$ of K-theory, violates the condition. One can then ask for some further generalized twisting, where, for a particular H_3 , the choice of allowable F_3 ’s would not form a vector space, i.e. whether one could consider a form of twisted K-theory which is not a module cohomology theory over ordinary K-theory. In [KS2] this is shown not to exist if the twisting space is $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$. The *classifying space*, i.e. a topological space $\mathcal{B}$ such that the affine-twisted K^1 -group would be classified by homotopy classes of maps $X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, cannot exist because the ‘group cohomology’ $H^2(CP^\infty, BU)$ vanishes. The above equation cannot occur as first Postnikov invariant, so

Theorem 6.7 ([KS2]). *$K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ -twisted K-theory is not compatible with S-duality in type IIB string theory.*

4. *Re-interpreting the twist:* Due to the above, one has to seek a solution in the realm of higher generalized cohomology theories. However, a question arises whether or not to leave the twist. The twist introduces an intrinsic non-commutativity which seems to prevent further delooping of the theory into a modular second cohomology group, giving an indication for a an *untwisted* generalized cohomology theory.

There is a map $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow TMF$ coming from the String orientation. A twist of K-theory of the form $X \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ then gives rise to an element of $TMF(X)$ by composition $X \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow TMF$, in fact defining “elliptic line bundles” (cf. [D]). Let us explain this. The classifying space $BGL_1 K$ for elementary twistings of complex K-theory splits, as an infinite loop space, as a product of two factors $A \times B$. The first factor is a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ bundle over $K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)$ which splits as a space but has nontrivial infinite loop structure classified by $Sq^3 \in H^3(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}/2); \mathbb{Z})$. There is a natural infinite loop map $B \rightarrow TMF$ from B to the representing space for topological modular forms, and so by projecting through B a map $BGL_1 K \rightarrow TMF$. In particular an elementary twisting of K-theory for X determines a TMF -class on X [D]. The geometric interpretation of these TMF classes is simplified if restricted to those classes coming from twistings involving only the $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ factor of B . Such a twisting is determined by a map $X \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ or equivalently by a BS^1 bundle on X . This bundle can be thought of as a stack⁵ locally isomorphic to the sheaf of line bundles on X and hence as a 1-dimensional 2-vector bundle on X . In this sense the TMF classes coming from K-theory twistings can be viewed as 1-dimensional elliptic elements and twisted K-theory as K-theory with coefficients in this “elliptic line bundle” [D].

Therefore, what looks like twisting to the eyes of K-theory, untwists and becomes merely a multiplication by a suitable element in TMF or any suitable form of elliptic cohomology. Thus,

Observation 6.8 ([KS2]). *If both F_3 and H_3 are viewed as elements of elliptic cohomology, i.e. symmetrically, and the twisting is replaced by multiplication then the S-duality puzzle is solved.*

6.2. Review of D-brane charges and twisted K-theory. The analogy with the more familiar case of the NS field H_3 is as follows. The cohomology class $[H_3]$ appears in the definition of a twisted Spin^c -structure

$$(6.1) \quad W_3 + [H_3] = 0,$$

a condition for consistent wrapping of D-branes around cycles in ten-dimensional spacetime [FW], where W_3 is the third integral Stiefel-Whitney class of the normal bundle, the vanishing of which allows a Spin^c -structure. In the presence of the NS B-field, or its field strength H_3 , the relevant K-theory is twisted K-theory, as was shown in [W6] [FW] [Ka] by analysis of worldsheet anomalies for the case the NS field $[H_3] \in H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a torsion class, and in [BM] for the nontorsion case. Twisted K-theory has been studied for some time [DK] [Ro]. More geometric flavors were given in [BCMMS]. Recently, the theory was fully developed by Atiyah and Segal [AS1] [AS2]. It is a further result that $[H_3]$ acts as a determinantal $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ -twist for complex K-theory. The left hand side of the expression (6.1) is in fact the first differential d_3 in the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for twisted K-theory – see [BCMMS] [AS1] [AS2]. Then, a *twisted D-brane* in a B -field (X, H_3) is a triple (W, E, ι) , where $\iota : W \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed, embedded oriented submanifold with $\iota^* H = W_3(W)$, and $E \in K^0(W)$ (see [BMRS]).

⁵These are yet another incarnation of the line bundle gerbes mentioned earlier, for instance in the paragraphs just before proposition 2.21. This stack incarnation is precisely the “gerbe” in the original sense of the word (a locally non-empty and transitive stack).

A D-brane wrapping a homology cycle is inconsistent if it suffers from an anomaly, and is sometimes inconsistent if the homology cycle cannot be represented by any nonsingular submanifold. This is detected by the first Milnor primitive cohomology operation $Q_1 = \beta P_3^1$ where β is the Bockstein and P_3^1 is the Steenrod power operation, both at the prime $p = 3$. In contrast, the twisted Spin^c condition is at $p = 2$. In fact, we have

Observation 6.9 ([ES2]). *The twisted Spin^c condition is not sufficient.*

D -brane charges are classified by the twisted K-group $K_H^*(X)$. A rigorous formulation of such D -brane charges requires a Thom isomorphism and a push-forward map. Indeed the Thom isomorphism and push-forward in twisted K-theory are established in [CW]: Corresponding to the map $\iota : \mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow X$ there is

- (1) Push-forward map: $\iota_! : K_{\iota^* \sigma + W_3(\iota)}^\bullet(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow K_H^\bullet(X)$.
- (2) Thom isomorphism: $K^\bullet(\mathcal{W}) \cong K_{\iota^* \sigma + W_3(\iota)}^\bullet(\mathcal{W})$.

With the use of the Riemann-Roch formula and index theorem in twisted K-theory it is now established that the RR charges in the presence of an H -field are indeed classified by twisted K-theory [CW2].

Now applying the push-forward map for ι in twisted K-theory, one can associate a canonical element in $K_H(X)$, the desired D -brane charge of the underlying D -brane [CW]

$$(6.2) \quad \iota_! : K(\mathcal{W}) \cong K_{\iota^* H + W_3(\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{W}) \longrightarrow K_H^*(X),$$

Hence,

Definition 6.10. For any D -brane wrapping W determined by an element $E \in K(\mathcal{W})$, the charge is

$$(6.3) \quad \iota_!(E) \in K_H^*(X).$$

6.3. The M-brane charges and twisted TMF. In the case of M-theory, the object carrying charges with respect to G_4 is the M5-brane and we will study conditions for consistent wrapping of such branes on cycles in eleven-dimensional spacetime. Hence, the candidate object to carry charges with respect to TMF is the M-theory fivebrane. In this section we will provide a point of view on the interpretation of Witten's quantization condition (1.1) for G_4 , which will give the context within which we describe M-brane charges in the following section.

In the case of the string, the target spacetime is assumed to be Spin , i.e. $w_2(X^{10}) = 0$. Then this also implies that X^{10} is certainly Spin^c . Then the requirement that the brane's worldvolume be Spin^c is equivalent to requiring the normal bundle to the D-brane to be Spin^c . On the other hand, for the M-theory case, Witten's flux quantization is obtained from the embedding of the membrane in spacetime Y^{11} . Taking Y^{11} be Spin^c will not be enough this time. We will see below how the twisted String condition [Wa] [SSS3] in the three bundles: tangent bundle to the worldvolume, the normal bundle, and the tangent bundle of the target will be related.

Remarks 6.11. The Interpretation of Witten's quantization.

1. The equation (1.1) makes sense only if λ is divisible by two. It means that it is not $[G_4/2\pi]$ but $[G_4/\pi]$ that is well defined as an integral cohomology class and that this class is congruent to λ modulo two [W7].

2. A weaker condition than (1.1) can be obtained by multiplying by two, provided there is no 2-torsion,

$$(6.4) \quad 2[G_4] + \lambda = 2a.$$

Condition (6.4) gives condition (1.1) if there is no 2-torsion and once λ is divisible by two.

3. We rewrite condition (6.4) in turn in a suggestive way as $\lambda - 2([G_4] - a) = 0$, so that we identify $\alpha := 2([G_4] - a)$ as the twist of the String structure [Wa] [SSS3].

4. Alternatively, we can work not with twisted String structure but rather with what was called twisted $\mathcal{F}^{(4)}$ -structure in [SSS3] to account for the factor of 2 dividing λ . There is no canonical description of $\mathcal{F}^{(4)}$ yet except through $BO\langle 8 \rangle$.

We can consider 3 different cases:

- the case when $a = 0$ so that the E_8 bundle is trivial and the twist is provided by $[G_4]$,
- the case $[G_4] = 0$ so that the flux is $G_4 = dC_3$ and the twist is provided by the E_8 class a ,
- the general case, where the twist is provided by α .

To make a comparison, let us briefly recall the model of [DFM]. The field strength in M-theory is geometrically described as a shifted differential character [DFM] in the sense of [HS]. A shifted differential character is the equivalence class of a differential cocycle which trivializes a specific differential 5-cocycle related to the integral Stiefel-Whitney class $W_5(Y)$. The Stiefel-Whitney class $w_4(Y) \in H^4(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ defines a differential cohomology class $\tilde{w}_4$ via the inclusion $H^4(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) \hookrightarrow H^4(Y; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H^4(Y; \mathbb{Z})$. On a Spin manifold, $W_5(Y) = 0$ is satisfied since λ is an integral lift of $w_4(Y)$. In this case, the differential cohomology class $\tilde{w}_4$ can be lifted to a differential cocycle by defining $\tilde{W}_5(Y) = (0, \frac{1}{2}\lambda, 0) \in \tilde{Z}^5(Y) \subset C^5(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \times C^4(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \times \Omega^5(Y)$, and the C -field can be defined as the differential cochain $\tilde{C} = (\bar{a}, h, \omega) \in C^4(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \times C^3(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \times \Omega^4(Y)$ trivializing $\tilde{W}_5$, $\delta\tilde{C} = \tilde{W}_5$, i.e. in components [DFM]

$$(6.5) \quad \delta\bar{a} = 0, \quad \delta h = \omega - \bar{a}_{\mathbb{R}} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda, \quad d\omega = 0.$$

It was proposed in [DFM] that G_4 lives in

$$(6.6) \quad \tilde{H}_{\frac{1}{2}\lambda}^4(Y^{11}),$$

the space of shifted characters on Y^{11} with shift $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$, and similarly on the fivebrane worldvolume.

Remark 6.12. In defining G_4 to live in (6.6), the authors of [DFM] are taking the point of view that the class $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$ acts as a twist for the differential character. The point of view we would like to take here is that $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$ is what is being twisted, and hence plays a more central role. After all, natural structures, i.e. ones related to the tangent bundle, should be in a sense more fundamental for describing structures on a manifold that are extra or auxiliary structures such as bundles not related to the

tangent bundle. The E_8 gauge theory can then be seen as responsible for the twist of $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$. This is the point of view also adopted in [SSS3], building on the definition in [Wa]. Therefore

$$(6.7) \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\lambda - \text{shifted } E_8 \text{ structure} \right\} \implies \{E_8 - \text{twisted String structure}\} .$$

6.4. The M5-brane charge. There are two definitions for the the M5-brane partition function [W8] [W9] [HS], and hence for the M5-brane charge [DFM]. One is intrinsic and uses the theory on the worldvolume. The other is extrinsic and uses anomaly inflow and hence the embedding in eleven dimensions. We will use the extrinsic definition for the M5-brane charge as this is the one that uses the Thom isomorphism and the push-forward (in the appropriate theory). Some aspects of the intrinsic approach were used in [SSS3] to relate twisted Fivebrane structures to the worldvolume theory of the fivebrane.

Consider the embedding $\iota : \mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow Y$ of the fivebrane with six-dimensional worldvolume $\mathcal{W}$ into eleven-dimensional spacetime Y . Consider the ten-dimensional unit sphere bundle $\pi : V \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{W}$ with fiber S^4 associated to the normal bundle $N \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ of the embedding ι . There is a corresponding 11-manifold Y_r with boundary V obtained by removing the disk bundle of radius r , $Y_r = Y - D_r(N)$ [DFM]. Corresponding to the sphere bundle V there is the Gysin sequence. Using that the normal bundle has vanishing Euler class $e(N) = 0$, one can deduce that [DFM]

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} H^3(V; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong H^3(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{Z}) \\ H^4(V; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong H^4(\mathcal{W}; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \text{ (noncanonically).} \end{aligned}$$

so that $H^3(V, U(1)) \cong H^3(\mathcal{W}, U(1))$. This relates G_4 on the worldvolume to that on the normal bundle, i.e. the tangential components to the transverse components.

The M5-brane is magnetically charged under the C -field, i.e. the former acts as a source for the latter. The charge is then measured by the value of the integral of G_4 over the linking sphere S^4 of $\mathcal{W}$ in Y

$$(6.9) \quad Q_{M5} = \int_{S^4} G_4 = k \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

Since the total Pontrjagin class of S^4 is 1, then Q_{M5} is equal to the instanton number of an E_8 instanton of the E_8 gauge theory with four-class a : $\int_{S^4} a = k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The description in terms of instantons is further given in [ES1]. Note that the charge defined this way highlights the role of E_8 . If the E_8 bundle is trivial then the charge is zero.

The anomaly inflow cancellation allows for a partition function of the M5-brane to be well-defined. In order for this to be made precise, the C -fields on $\mathcal{W}$ must be related to the C -field on V . The gauge equivalence classes of C -fields on W is the shifted differential character $[\overline{C}] \in \check{H}_{\frac{1}{2}\lambda}^4(W)$, and similarly for X . This then requires the existence of the map

$$(6.10) \quad i : \check{H}_{\frac{1}{2}\lambda_W}^4(W) \rightarrow \check{H}_{\frac{1}{2}\lambda_X}^4(X).$$

In [DFM], a C -field $\check{C}_0$ on X was *chosen* such that via the map i the C -fields are related as

$$(6.11) \quad i[\overline{C}] = [\check{C}_0] + \pi^*[\overline{C}].$$

We now give our main proposal.

Proposal 6.13. (1) As we mentioned earlier, the shifted differential character gives the minor role to $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$. This obscures an interpretation in terms of generalized cohomology. We would like to replace (6.10) with

$$(6.12) \quad \rho : \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda + \alpha \right) (\mathcal{W}) \longrightarrow \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda + \alpha \right) (V) .$$

- (2) In order to properly define the M5-brane charge, what we need is then a Thom isomorphism and a push-forward map in the appropriate theory. Our proposal is that the desired theory is TMF and hence we apply the Thom isomorphism and the push-forward in TMF to obtain the M5-brane charge. This way, the analog of (6.11) will be canonical.

If X is a space, then the twisted forms of $K^*(X)$ correspond to homotopy classes of maps $[X, BGL_1K]$. The third homotopy group of the parametrizing space is $\pi_0 BGL_1K = \mathbb{Z}$ since $K^2(pt) = \mathbb{Z}$. This is the determinantal twist in K-theory an example of which being the NS H -field in string theory. Twists of TMF are classified by BGL_1TMF and there is a corresponding map $K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \rightarrow BGL_1TMF$.

The proof of the following theorem is explained by Matthew Ando.

Theorem 6.14. *A class $\alpha \in H^4(X; \mathbb{Z})$ gives rise to a twist $tmf_\alpha^*(X)$ of $tmf(X)$. Moreover, if V is a (virtual) spin vector bundle over X with half-Pontrjagin class λ , then $tmf_\lambda^*(X) \cong tmf^*(X^V)$ as modules over $tmf^*(X)$.*

Then, armed with a Thom isomorphism and a pushforward map (see Ando's contribution to these proceedings [ABG]), the main application is

Definition/Theorem 6.15. Given an embedding $\iota : \mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow Y$, the charge of the M5-brane is given by

$$(6.13) \quad \iota_!(E) \in TMF_\alpha^*(Y)$$

Remarks 6.16. We consider the Witten quantization condition for the worldvolume, normal bundle, and target for both the M2-brane and the M5-brane.

- (1) *M2-brane:* The condition $\frac{1}{2}\lambda(M3) + [G_4]|_{M^3} - a_{E_8}|_{M^3} = 0$ is satisfied on the M2-brane worldvolume, by dimension reasons. Therefore, the condition $\frac{1}{2}\lambda(N) + [G_4]|_N - a_{E_8}|_N = 0$ on the normal bundle N is equivalent to the same condition on the target. This is indeed what enters in Witten's derivation of the quantization condition (1.1).
- (2) *M5-brane:* Assuming as above that the condition holds for the normal bundle to the fivebrane, then this implies that the condition on the worldvolume is equivalent to that on the target. So we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda(\mathcal{W}) + [G_4]|_{\mathcal{W}} - a_{E_8}|_{\mathcal{W}} = 0 \quad \implies \quad \frac{1}{2}\lambda(Y) + [G_4]|_Y - a_{E_8}|_Y = 0 .$$

Discussion and further evidence for the interpretation of M5-brane charge.

1. Two-gerbes. The system of M2-branes ending on M5-branes is an M-theoretic realization of the system of strings ending on D-branes. In the latter, there is a gauge field, or connection one form A , on the string boundary $\partial\Sigma \subset Q$. In addition, there is the B -field on Σ , which acts as a twist for the Chan-Paton bundle, whose connection is A and curvature is F . Now in the case of M-theory, the membrane boundary $\partial M2 \subset M5$ (written schematically) has a degree two potential, essentially a B -field, which represents a gerbe made nonabelian by the presence of the pullback of the C -field. This is equivalent to a 2-gerbe system.

2. Loop variables for the membrane. The system of multiple M5-branes, generalizing the system of n D-branes leading to $U(n)$ nonabelian gauge symmetry, can be described by twisted $\widehat{\Omega}G$ -gerbes, i.e. twisted gerbes for the universal central extension of the based loop group ΩG , where G is any of the Lie groups $\text{Spin}(n)$, $n \geq 7$, E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , F_4 , and G_2 [AJ]. Indeed, it has been shown explicitly in [G3] that classical membrane fields are loops.

3. Fivebrane in loop space. The generalization of the abelian system of fields, called tensor multiplet, to the nonabelian case leading to the nonabelian tensor multiplet, which appears in the worldvolume theory of the M5-brane, requires loop space variables and a formulation in loop space [G1] [G2]. Given the general principle that degree n phenomena in a space are captured by degree $n-1$ phenomena on its loop space, the situation for $n=3$ suggests a relation between (twisted) K-theory in loop space to be related to (twisted) TMF -cohomology of the space.

4. Relating TMF in M-theory to twisted K-theory in string theory. As we have reviewed it is known that twisted K-theory classifies D-branes and their charges in the presence of the NS B -field on a ten-dimensional space X^{10} . We have also seen how M-branes and their charges should take values in TMF on an eleven-dimensional space Y^{11} . Given the relation between M-theory and type IIA string theory, the situation when Y^{11} is a (possibly trivial) circle bundle over X^{10} , there should be a relation between the TMF description and the twisted K-theory description, in the sense that (possibly S^1 -equivariant twisted) TMF of $X^{10} \times S^1$ should give rise to twisted K-theory of X^{10} . Current discussions with Matthew Ando and with Christopher Douglas suggest schematically the following

Conjecture 6.17. There is a map $(\Omega tmf \times S^1)/S^1 \rightarrow k/.*$.

5. Capturing the fields of degree $4k$ in M-theory. Twisted K-theory, under the twisted Chern character map that lands in rational cohomology, leads to differential forms of all even degree up to the dimension of the manifold. These forms are the components of the RR field in the classical supergravity approximation. In eleven dimensions, then, one should ask about some form of classical limit of the TMF description. What replaces the Chern character map should be a version for TMF (cf. the Miller character) of the Pontrjagin character map in KO-theory

$$(6.14) \quad Ph : KO^*(X) \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathbb{C}} K^*(X) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} H^{**}(X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

The range is degree $4k$ cohomology, which indeed captures the field G_4 and its dual G_8 (or Θ). We think of this as the combination $v G_4 + w G_8$, for suitably identified generators v and w , which make $H^{**}(X; \mathbb{Q})$. Some aspects of this in connection to Spin K-theory have been discussed in [S7] (see also section 3.4).

6. Infinite number of fields. We know that, physically, an element in differential K-theory corresponds to a collection of physical fields: all the RR fields.

Analogously, an element in (differential twisted) elliptic cohomology or (differential twisted) TMF should be given by a large collection of physical fields. At the level of differential forms, the large number of fields should be seen in the same way that the K-theoretic RR fields are seen at the level of differential forms via supergravity fields and their Hodge duals. But eleven-dimensional supergravity as traditionally known features only a single candidate field: the 3-form C -field (and its Hodge dual). This certainly cannot model generic elements in TMF by itself. Therefore, our previous discussion suggests a considerably richer structure hidden within and beyond eleven-dimensional supergravity. Recall that such a rich structure is also suggested by hidden symmetries:

First, at the level of differential forms:

- In the dimensional reduction of eleven-dimensional supergravity (and hence type IIA supergravity) on tori T^n with fluxes one gets the Cremmer-Julia [CJ] exceptional groups $E_{n(n)}$, which are infinite-dimensional Kac-Moody groups for $n \geq 9$ (cf. [J]). In the latter case, hence, there are an infinite number of fields at the classical level. Passing to the quantum theory, one has the U -duality groups $E_{n(n)}(\mathbb{Z})$, the $\mathbb{Z}$ -forms of the above non-compact groups, and so we still have an infinite number of fields.

- Already in eleven dimensions, the classic works of de Wit, Nicolai (reviewed in [dWN]), and conjecture of [Du], imply the existence of Cremmer-Julia groups without compactification. One recent striking proposal is that of [We] in which the Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebra E_{11} is proposed as a symmetry in M-theory. This proposal has withstood many checks. The algebra $\mathfrak{e}_{11}$ admits an infinite $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading as $\mathfrak{e}_{11} = \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{e}_8 \oplus \cdots$.

Second, integrally: The above fields should have refinements at the quantum level to whichever (generalized) cohomology theory ends up arising.

7. The topological term via higher classes. The topological part of the M-theory action is written in a suggestive compact form when lifted to the bounding twelve-manifold Z^{12} in [S1] [S2] [S3]. To do so, the *total String class* (in the notation of those papers) $\lambda = 1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots$ is introduced, where $\lambda_1 = p_1/2$ is the usual ‘*String class*’, and $\lambda_2 = p_2/2$ which is well-defined for Spin manifolds. The interpretation of the class and the characters is as degree $4k$ analogs of the Chern class and the Chern character, mapping from the cohomology theory describing M-theory to degree $4k$ cohomology. These are essentially the Spin characteristic classes defined in [Th] and were precursors to the discussion of Fivebrane structures in [SSS1] [SSS2] [SSS3].

Theorem 6.18. [S1] [S2] [S3][S5]

- (1) *The M-theory fields are elements of a unified field strength. The quantization formula on the total M-theory field reproduces the quantization on G_4 and its dual G_8 .*
- (2) *G_4 can be viewed as an index 2-gerbe.*

8. Twisted cohomology in M-theory. The characters can be extended to include the dual fields and to account for their dynamics. For the dual field, one can pick either the straightforward degree seven Hodge dual or its differential, the degree eight field Θ . The dual formulation favors the degree four/eight combination whereas the duality-symmetric dynamics favors the degree four/seven combination. The second combination hints at a role for the prime $p = 3$ in M-theory analogous

to the role played by the prime $p = 2$ in K-theory, and consequently in string theory. The combination leads to twisted (generalized) cohomology. However, unlike the case in K-theory, the twist is given by a degree four class, suggesting relation to TMF, since a twist of the latter can be seen, at least heuristically, as a K-theory (degree three) twist on the loop space.

Theorem 6.19. [S2] [S3] *There is a twisted (graded) cohomology on the M-theory fields with a twist given by a degree four class.*

9. The q -expansions. The q -expansions from the Miller character $TMF \rightarrow K[[q]] \rightarrow H^*\mathbb{Q}[[q]]$ will come from the comparison to type IIA string theory. We have the following diagram

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & & \\ & & \text{=} & & \\ S^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{W}^3 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y^{11} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & S^1 \\ & & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi & & \\ & & \Sigma_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X^{10} & & \end{array}$$

There are two principal circle bundles: π and π' . In the dimensional reduction from M-theory to type IIA string theory the two fibers are identified and from a membrane in eleven dimensions we get a string in ten dimensions. At the level of partition functions of the targets (the fiber bundle π in the diagram) it was observed in [KS1] that the resulting partition function, formulated in elliptic cohomology, is a q -expansion of the K-theoretic partition function. There q was built out of the generators v_1 and v_2 at the prime 2, $q = v_1^3 v_2^{-1}$. This essentially came from the fact that $EO_2(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}_2[[q]]$.

The E -theoretic quantization. In the untwisted case, the total field strength $F(x)$ of type II string theory as described by K-theory is 2π times $\sqrt{\hat{A}(X)}ch(x)$. The refinement of the description of the fields to elliptic cohomology is For any elliptic cohomology theory E , there is a canonical map $E \rightarrow K((q))$ (where q is as above), so compose with the Chern character to get a map $ch_E : E \rightarrow H^*((q))$. The term $\sqrt{\hat{A}(X)}$ should be replaced by an analogous term related to the Witten genus $\sigma(X)^{1/2}$ where

Theorem 6.20 ([KS3]). *The E -theoretic quantization condition for the RR fields is given by the formula for the elliptic field strength associated with x : $F(x) = \sigma(X)^{1/2}ch_E(X)$, where $\sigma(X)$ is the characteristic class of X associated with the power series*

$$\sigma(z) = (e^{z/2} - e^{-z/2}) \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1 - q^n e^z)(1 - q^n e^{-z})}{(1 - q^n)^2}.$$

The σ -function, in the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit, reduces to the characteristic function of the $\hat{A}$ -genus, thus reducing this field strength to the type II field strength in the 10-dimensional limit.

The connection of this to charges is as follows. Considering the bundle π' , we get q -expansions on Σ_2 upon taking Fourier modes of the circle. The boundary $\partial\Sigma_2$ has

Chan-Paton charges on it. Since the boundary of the string ends on a D-brane then certainly the q -expansions will be seen by the D-brane as well. The result is that the Chan-Paton bundle on the D-brane gets replaced by its appropriate q -expansions $E \mapsto S^\bullet E, \Lambda^\bullet E$. The charges of D -branes have been previously calculated at $q = 1$, i.e. at $\Lambda^\bullet = S^\bullet = 1$, as they are essentially the Chern character

$$(6.16) \quad K^*(q = 1) \longrightarrow H^*\mathbb{Q}(q = 1) .$$

The q -refinement of the D-brane charge formula should then be

$$(6.17) \quad Q = \Phi_W(X^{10})ch_{ell}(E) ,$$

the Witten genus of X^{10} twisted by the appropriate exterior and symmetric powers of the Chan-Paton bundle.

The higher modes for the supermultipet. In an orthogonal discussion to [KS1], we could also view q as coming from Fourier modes on the circle, i.e. Kaluza-Klein modes and interpret q accordingly. Consider the supergravity fields (g, C_3, ψ) . The coupling to vector bundles V gives $V \otimes \mathcal{L}^k$. For example V is an E_8 bundle with characteristic class a and set $c_1(\mathcal{L})$. Consider the connection A on the vector bundle V . Coupling it to $\mathcal{L}^k$ leads to the connection $A \otimes e^{-ik\theta}$. Then the C-field, which is essentially the Chern-Simons form of the E_8 bundle, will also be also have Fourier components as $C_3 \otimes e^{-ik\theta}$. This is of course compatible with, and is in fact the same as, just the dimensional reduction of the C-field directly from eleven dimensions without use of the E_8 bundle.

10. Concluding remark. We have reviewed and indicated further development that a closer examination of the deep structures involved in string- and M-theory indicates and shows that very rich cohomological phenomena are at work in the background. While it is now well-known that (differential) K-theory encodes much of the interesting structure of Type II string theory, we have shown and argued that by further reasoning along such lines one finds various generalized cohomological structures that go beyond K-theory. This includes Morava K-theory and E-theory, elliptic cohomology, and TMF . In addition, we have considered higher structures generalizing Spin structures, such as String and Fivebrane structures, and have emphasized a delicate interplay between all these.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Matthew Ando, David Lipsky, Corbett Redden, Urs Schreiber, and Jim Stasheff for very useful discussions, and Dan Freed for useful remarks. I also thank the organizers of the CBMS conference on “Topology, C^* -algebras, and String Duality”, Robert Doran and Greg Friedman, for the kind invitation to the conference. Special thanks are due to the anonymous referee, who kindly gave many helpful remarks and suggestions for improving the presentation and strengthening the points made in the article. I also thank Arthur Greenspoon for editorial suggestions.

References

- [AKMW] O. Alvarez, T. P. Killingback, M. L. Mangano, and P. Windey, *String theory and loop space index theorems*, Commun. Math. Phys. **111** (1987) 1-10.
- [ASi] O. Alvarez and I. M. Singer, *Beyond the elliptic genus*, Nuclear Phys. **B 633** (2002) 309-344, [arXiv:hep-th/0104199].

- [A] M. Ando, *Power operations in elliptic cohomology and representations of loop groups*, Trans. AMS **352** (2000) 5619–5666.
- [ABG] M. Ando, A. Blumberg, and D. Gepner, *Twists of K-theory and TMF*, to appear in Proc. Symp. Pure Math.
- [AHR] M. Ando, M. Hopkins, and C. Rezk, *Multiplicative orientations of KO-theory and of the spectrum of topological modular forms*, preprint 2009, [<http://www.math.uiuc.edu/~mando/papers/koandtmf.pdf>].
- [AHS] M. Ando, M. J. Hopkins, and N. P. Strickland, *Elliptic spectra, the Witten genus and the theorem of the cube*, Invent. Math. **146** (2001), no. 3, 595–687.
- [As] A. Asada, *Four lectures on the geometry of loop group and non abelian de Rham theory*, Chalmers University of Technology/The University of Goteborg, 1990.
- [AJ] P. Aschieri and B. Jurco, *Gerbes, M5-brane anomalies and E_8 gauge theory* J. High Energy Phys. **0410** (2004) 068, [[arXiv:hep-th/0409200](#)].
- [AS1] M. Atiyah and G. Segal, *Twisted K-theory*, Ukr. Math. Bull. **1** (2004), no. 3, 291–334, [[arXiv:math/0407054](#)] [[math.KT](#)].
- [AS2] M. Atiyah and G. Segal, *Twisted K-theory and cohomology*, Inspired by S. S. Chern, 5–43, Nankai Tracts Math., 11, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006, [[arXiv:math/0510674](#)] [[math.KT](#)].
- [BBK] N. A. Baas, M. Bökstedt, and T. A. Kro, *Two-categorical bundles and their classifying spaces*, [[arXiv:math/0612549](#)] [[math.AT](#)].
- [BCSS] J. Baez, A. Crans, U. Schreiber, and D. Stevenson, *From loop groups to 2-groups*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. **9** (2007), no. 2, 101–135, [[arXiv:math/0504123](#)] [[math.QA](#)].
- [BS] J. Baez, and U. Schreiber, *Higher gauge theory*, Categories in Algebra, Geometry and Mathematical Physics, 7–30, Contemp. Math., **431**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, [[arXiv:math/0511710v2](#)] [[math.DG](#)].
- [BS_t] J. Baez and D. Stevenson, *The classifying space of a topological 2-group*, [[arXiv:0801.3843](#)] [[math.AT](#)].
- [BST] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin, and P.K. Townsend, *Supermembranes and eleven-dimensional supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B189** (1987) 75–78.
- [BCMMS] P. Bouwknegt, A. L. Carey, V. Mathai, M. K. Murray, and D. Stevenson, *Twisted K-theory and K-theory of bundle gerbes*, Commun. Math. Phys. **228** (2002) 17–49, [[arXiv:hep-th/0106194](#)].
- [BEJMS] P. Bouwknegt, J. Evslin, B. Jurco, V. Mathai, and H. Sati, *Flux compactification on projective spaces and the S-duality puzzle*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **10** (2006) 345–94, [[arXiv:hep-th/0501110](#)].
- [BM] P. Bouwknegt and V. Mathai, *D-branes, B-fields and twisted K-theory*, J. High Energy Phys. **0003** (2000) 007, [[arXiv:hep-th/0002023](#)].
- [BKTV] P. Bressler, M. Kapranov, B. Tsygan, and E. Vasserot, *Riemann-Roch for real varieties*, [[arXiv:math/0612410](#)].
- [BMRS] J. Brodzki, V. Mathai, J. Rosenberg, and R. J. Szabo, *D-branes, RR-fields and duality on noncommutative manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **277**, no. 3 (2008) 643–706, [[arXiv:hep-th/0607020v3](#)].
- [CJM] A. L. Carey, S. Johnson and M. K. Murray, *Holonomy on D-branes*, J. Geom. Phys. **52** (2004) 186–216, [[arXiv:hep-th/0204199](#)].
- [CJMSW] A. L. Carey, S. Johnson, M. K. Murray, D. Stevenson, and B.-L. Wang, *Bundle gerbes for Chern-Simons and Wess-Zumino-Witten theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **259** (2005) 577–613, [[arXiv:math/0410013](#)] [[math.DG](#)].
- [CW] A. L. Carey and B.-L. Wang, *Thom isomorphism and push-forward map in twisted K-theory*, [[arXiv:math/0507414v4](#)] [[math.KT](#)].
- [CW2] A. L. Carey and B.-L. Wang, *Riemann-Roch and index formulae in twisted K-theory*, [[arXiv:0909.4848](#)] [[math.KT](#)].
- [CN] A. H. Chamseddine and H. Nicolai, *Coupling the $SO(2)$ supergravity through dimensional reduction*, Phys. Lett. **B96** (1980) 89–93.
- [CS] J. Cheeger and J. Simons, *Differential characters and geometric invariants*, In Geometry and Topology, 50–80, LNM 1167, Springer, 1985.
- [CP] R. Coquereaux and K. Pilch, *String structures on loop bundles*, Commun. Math. Phys. **120** (1989) 353–378.

- [C] E. Cremmer, *Supergravities in 5 dimensions*, in Superspace and supergravity, eds. S.W. Hawking and M. Rocek, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1981.
- [CJ] E. Cremmer and B. Julia, *The $SO(8)$ supergravity*, Nuclear Phys. **B159** (1979) 141–212.
- [CJLP] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lu, and C.N. Pope, *Dualization of dualities II*, Nucl. Phys. **B535** (1998) 242–292, [arXiv:hep-th/9806106].
- [CJS] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, and J. Scherk, *Supergravity theory in eleven-dimensions*, Phys. Lett. **B76** (1978) 409–412.
- [D] X. Dai, *Adiabatic limits, nonmultiplicativity of signature, and Leray spectral sequence*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 2, 265–321.
- [dWN] B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, *Hidden symmetries, central charges and all that*, Class. Quant. Grav. **18** (2001) 3095–3112, [arXiv: hep-th/0011239].
- [DFM] E. Diaconescu, D. S. Freed and G. Moore, *The M-theory 3-form and E_8 gauge theory*, Elliptic cohomology, 44–88, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 342, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, [arXiv:hep-th/0312069].
- [DMW] E. Diaconescu, G. Moore and E. Witten, *E_8 gauge theory, and a derivation of K-Theory from M-Theory*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **6** (2003) 1031–1134, [arXiv:hep-th/0005090].
- [DK] P. Donovan and M. Karoubi, *Graded Brauer groups and K-theory with local coefficients*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **38** (1970) 5–25.
- [D] C. L. Douglas, *On the twisted K-homology of simple Lie groups*, Topology **45** (2006), no. 6, 955–988.
- [Du] M. J. Duff, *$E_8 \times SO(16)$ symmetry of $d = 11$ supergravity?*, in Quantum Field Theory and Quantum Statistics, eds. I. A. Batalin, C. Isham and G. A. Vilkovisky, Adam Hilger, Bristol, UK 1986.
- [DHIS] M. J. Duff, P. S. Howe, T. Inami and K. S. Stelle, *Superstrings in $D = 10$ from supermembranes in $D = 11$* , Phys. Lett. **191B** (1987) 70–74.
- [DLM] M. J. Duff, J. T. Liu, and R. Minasian, *Eleven-dimensional origin of string-string duality: A One loop test*, Nucl. Phys. **B452** (1995) 261–282, [arXiv:hep-th/9506126].
- [DNP] M. J. Duff, B. E. W. Nilsson, and C. N. Pope, *Kaluza-Klein supergravity*, Phys. Rept. **130** (1986) 1–142.
- [E] F. Englert, *Spontaneous compactification of eleven-dimensional supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B119** (1982) 339–342.
- [ES1] J. Evslin and H. Sati, *SUSY vs E_8 gauge theory in 11 dimensions*, J. High Energy Phys. **0305** (2003) 048, [arXiv:hep-th/0210090].
- [ES2] J. Evslin and H. Sati, *Can D-Branes Wrap Nonrepresentable Cycles?*, J. High Energy Phys. **0610** (2006) 050, [arXiv:hep-th/0607045].
- [FS] J. Figueroa-O’Farrill, J. Simon, *Supersymmetric Kaluza-Klein reductions of M2 and M5-branes*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **6** (2003) 703–793, [arXiv:hep-th/0208107].
- [Fr2] D. S. Freed, *Dirac charge quantization and generalized differential cohomology*, in Surv. Differ. Geom. **VII**, 129–194, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2000, [arXiv:hep-th/0011220].
- [Fr1] D. S. Freed, *Classical Chern-Simons theory II*, Houston J. Math. **28** (2002), no. 2, 293–310.
- [FH] D. S. Freed and M. J. Hopkins, *On Ramond-Ramond fields and K-theory*, J. High Energy Phys. **05** (2000) 044, [arXiv:hep-th/0002027].
- [FMS] D. S. Freed, G. W. Moore, and G. Segal, *The uncertainty of fluxes*, Commun. Math. Phys. **271** (2007) 247–274, [arXiv:hep-th/0605198].
- [FW] D. S. Freed and E. Witten, *Anomalies in string theory with D-branes*, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), no. 4, 819–851, [arXiv:hep-th/9907189].
- [Fo] R. Forman, *Spectral sequences and adiabatic limits* Comm. Math. Phys. **168** (1995), no. 1, 57–116.
- [Go] P. G. Goerss, *Topological modular forms (aftern Hopkins, Miller, and Lurie)*, [arXiv:0910.5130] [math.AT].
- [GHK] J. M. Gomez, P. Hu and I. Kriz, *Stringy bundles and infinite loop space theory*, preprint 2009.
- [GS] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, *Anomaly cancellation in supersymmetric $D = 10$ gauge theory and superstring theory*, Phys. Lett. **B 149** (1984) 117–122.
- [Gu] R. Güven, *Black p-brane solutions of $D = 11$ supergravity theory*, Phys. Lett. **B276** (1992) 49–55.
- [G1] A. Gustavsson, *The non-Abelian tensor multiplet in loop space*, J. High Energy Phys. **0601** (2006) 165, [arXiv:hep-th/0512341].

- [G2] A. Gustavsson, *Loop space, $(2, 0)$ theory, and solitonic strings*, J. High Energy Phys. **0612** (2006) 066, [[arXiv:hep-th/0608141](#)].
- [G3] A. Gustavsson, *Selfdual strings and loop space Nahm equations*, J. High Energy Phys. **0804** (2008) 083, [[arXiv:0802.3456](#)] [[hep-th](#)].
- [HM] J. A. Harvey and G. Moore, *Superpotentials and membrane instantons*, [[arXiv:hep-th/9907026](#)].
- [H] A. Henriques, *Integrating L_∞ -algebras*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), no. 4, 1017–1045, [[arXiv:math/0603563](#)] [[math.AT](#)].
- [Ho] M. J. Hopkins, *Algebraic topology and modular forms*, Proceedings of the ICM, Beijing 2002, vol. 1, 283–309, [[arXiv:math/0212397](#)] [[math/AT](#)].
- [HS] M. J. Hopkins and I. M. Singer, *Quadratic functions in geometry, topology, and M-theory*, J. Differential Geom. **70** (2005), no. 3, 329–452, [[arXiv:math/0211216](#)] [[math.AT](#)].
- [HK1] P. Hu and I. Kriz, *Real-oriented homotopy theory and an analogue of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence*, Topology **40** (2001) 317–399.
- [HK2] P. Hu and I. Kriz, *Conformal field theory and elliptic cohomology*, Adv. Math. **189** (2004), no. 2, 325–412.
- [J] B. Julia, in Lectures in Applied Mathematics, AMS-SIAM, vol. 21, (1985), p. 335.
- [Ka] A. Kapustin, *D-branes in a topologically nontrivial B-field*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4** (2000) 127–154, [[arXiv:hep-th/9909089](#)].
- [Ki] T. P. Killingback, *Global anomalies, string theory and space-time topology*, Class. Quant. Grav. **5** (1988) 1169–1186.
- [KS1] I. Kriz and H. Sati, *M Theory, type IIA superstrings, and elliptic cohomology*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **8** (2004) 345–395, [[arXiv:hep-th/0404013](#)].
- [KS2] I. Kriz and H. Sati, *Type IIB string theory, S-duality and generalized cohomology*, Nucl. Phys. **B715** (2005) 639–664, [[arXiv:hep-th/0410293](#)].
- [KS3] I. Kriz and H. Sati, *Type II string theory and modularity*, J. High Energy Phys. **08** (2005) 038, [[arXiv:hep-th/0501060](#)].
- [Ku] K. Kuribayashi, *On the vanishing problem of string classes*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (series A) **61** (1996), 258–266.
- [LNSW] W. Lerche, B. E. W. Nilsson1, A. N. Schellekens and N. P. Warner, *Anomaly cancelling terms from the elliptic genus*, Nucl. Phys. **B 299** (1988) 91–116.
- [LD] B. Li and H. Duan, *Spin characteristic classes and reduced $KSpin$ group of a low-dimensional complex*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1991) 479–491.
- [LLW] W. Li, X. Liu, and H. Wang, *On a spectral sequence for twisted cohomologies*, [[arXiv:0911.1417](#)] [[math.AT](#)].
- [Liu] K. Liu, *On Modular Invariance and Rigidity Theorems*, Harvard thesis 1993.
- [Lo] J. Lott, *Higher degree analogs of the determinant line bundles*, Comm. Math. Phys. **230** (2002) 41–69, [[arXiv:math/0106177](#)] [[math.DG](#)].
- [Lu] J. Lurie, *A survey of elliptic cohomology*, preprint, 2007, [<http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/survey.pdf>].
- [MSa] V. Mathai and H. Sati, *Some relations between twisted K-theory and E_8 gauge theory*, J. High Energy Phys. **03** (2004) 016, [[arXiv:hep-th/0312033](#)].
- [MSt] V. Mathai and D. Stevenson, *Chern character in twisted K-theory: equivariant and holomorphic cases*, Commun. Math. Phys. **236** (2003) 161–186, [[arXiv:hep-th/0201010](#)].
- [MWu] V. Mathai and S. Wu, *Analytic torsion for twisted de Rham complexes*, [[arXiv:0810.4204](#)] [[math.DG](#)].
- [MaM] R. Mazzeo and R. Melrose, *The adiabatic limit, Hodge cohomology and Leray’s spectral sequence for a fibration*, J. Differential Geom. **31** (1990), no. 1, 185–213.
- [Mc] D. A. McLaughlin, *Orientation and string structures on loop space*, Pacific J. Math. **155** (1992) 143–156.
- [Mi] J. Mickelsson, *Current Algebras and Groups*, Plenum Press, London and New York, 1989.
- [MP] J. Mickelsson and R. Percacci, *Global aspects of p-branes*, J. Geom. and Phys. **15** (1995) 369–380, [[arXiv:hep-th/9304054](#)].
- [MM] R. Minasian and G. Moore, *K-theory and Ramond-Ramond charge*, J. High Energy Phys. **11** (1997) 002, [[arXiv:hep-th/9710230](#)].
- [Mo] G. W. Moore, *Anomalies, Gauss laws, and Page charges in M-theory*, Comptes Rendus Physique **6** (2005) 251–259, [[arXiv:hep-th/0409158](#)].

- [MW] G. Moore and E. Witten, *Self duality, Ramond-Ramond fields, and K-theory*, J. High Energy Phys. **05** (2000) 032, [[arXiv:hep-th/9912279](#)].
- [Mor] J. Morava, *Forms of K-theory*, Math. Zeitschrift **201** (1989) 401–428.
- [Mu] M. K. Murray, *Bundle gerbes*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **54** (1996), no. 2, 403–416.
- [MuS] M. K. Murray and D. Stevenson, *Bundle gerbes: Stable isomorphism and local theory*, J. London Math. Soc. (2). **62** (2002), no. 3, 925–937, [[arXiv:math/9908135](#)] [[math.DG](#)].
- [PSW] K. Pilch, A. N. Schellekens, and N. P. Warner, *Path integral calculation of string anomalies*, Nucl. Phys. **B 287** (1987) 362–380.
- [PW] K. Pilch and N. P. Warner, *String structures and the index of the Dirac-Ramond operator on orbifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **115** (1988) 191–212.
- [Po] J. Polchinski, *Dirichlet-branes and Ramond-Ramond charges*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 4724–4727, [[arXiv:hep-th/9510017](#)].
- [R1] C. Redden, *String structures and canonical three-forms*, [[arXiv:0912.2086](#)] [[math.DG](#)].
- [R2] C. Redden, *Harmonic forms on principal bundles*, [[arXiv:0810.4578](#)] [[math.DG](#)].
- [RS] C. Redden and H. Sati, work in progress.
- [Ro] J. Rosenberg, *Continuous trace C^* -algebras from the bundle theoretic point of view*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **A47** (1989) 368–381.
- [S1] H. Sati, *M-theory and Characteristic Classes*, J. High Energy Phys. **0508** (2005) 020, [[arXiv:hep-th/0501245](#)].
- [S2] H. Sati, *Flux quantization and the M-theoretic characters*, Nucl. Phys. **B727** (2005) 461–470, [[arXiv:hep-th/0507106](#)].
- [S3] H. Sati, *Duality symmetry and the form-fields in M-theory*, J. High Energy Phys. **0606** (2006) 062, [[arXiv:hep-th/0509046](#)].
- [S4] H. Sati, *The Elliptic curves in gauge theory, string theory, and cohomology*, J. High Energy Phys. **0603** (2006) 096, [[arXiv:hep-th/0511087](#)].
- [S5] H. Sati, *E_8 gauge theory and gerbes in string theory*, [[arXiv:hep-th/0608190](#)].
- [S6] H. Sati, *A higher twist in string theory*, J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009) 369–373, [[arXiv:hep-th/0701232](#)].
- [S7] H. Sati, *An Approach to anomalies in M-theory via $KSpin$* , J. Geom. Phys. **58** (2008) 387–401, [[arXiv:0705.3484](#)] [[hep-th](#)].
- [S7] H. Sati, *On anomalies of E_8 gauge theory on String manifolds*, [[arXiv:0807.4940](#)] [[hep-th](#)].
- [SSS1] H. Sati, U. Schreiber and J. Stasheff, *L_∞ -connections and applications to String- and Chern-Simons n -transport*, in *Recent Developments in QFT*, eds. B. Fauser et al., Birkhäuser, Basel (2008), [[arXiv:0801.3480](#)] [[math.DG](#)].
- [SSS2] H. Sati, U. Schreiber, and J. Stasheff, *Fivebrane structures*, to appear in Rev. Math. Phys. [[arXiv:math/0805.0564](#)] [[math.AT](#)].
- [SSS3] H. Sati, U. Schreiber, and J. Stasheff, *Twisted differential String- and Fivebrane structures*, [[arXiv:0910.4001](#)] [[math.AT](#)].
- [SW] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Spin structures in string theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B276** (1986) 272–290.
- [SW] A. N. Schellekens and N.P. Warner, *Anomalies and modular invariance in string theory*, Phys. Lett. **B 177** (1986) 317–323.
- [S-P] C. J. Schommer-Pries, *A finite-dimensional String 2-group*, preprint 2009.
- [Sch1] J. H. Schwarz, *The power of M-theory*, Phys. Lett. **B367** (1996) 97–103, [[arXiv:hep-th/9510086](#)].
- [Sch2] J. H. Schwarz, *Lectures on superstring and M-theory dualities*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **55B** (1997) 1–32, [[arXiv:hep-th/9607201](#)].
- [SVW] S. Sethi, C. Vafa, and E. Witten, *Constraints on low-dimensional string compactifications*, Nucl. Phys. **B480** (1996) 213–224, [[arXiv:hep-th/9606122](#)].
- [ST1] S. Stolz and P. Teichner, *What is an elliptic object?*, in *Topology, geometry and quantum field theory*, 247–343, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [ST2] S. Stolz and P. Teichner, *Super symmetric field theories and integral modular functions*, [<http://math.berkeley.edu/~teichner/Papers/SusyQFT.pdf>]
- [Stg] R. E. Stong, *Appendix: calculation of $\Omega_{11}^{\text{Spin}}(K(Z, 4))$* , in *Workshop on unified string theories* (Santa Barbara, Calif., 1985), 430–437, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1986.
- [Str] A. Strominger, *Superstrings with torsion*, Nucl. Phys. **B274** (1986) 253–284.

- [Te] C. Teleman, *K-theory of the moduli space of bundles on a surface and deformations of the Verlinde algebra*, in Topology, Geometry and Quantum Field Theory, U. Tillmann (ed.), Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [Th] E. Thomas, *On the cohomology groups of the classifying space for the stable spinor groups*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (2) **7** (1962) 57–69.
- [To1] P. K. Townsend, *String-Membrane Duality in Seven Dimensions*, Phys. Lett. **B354** (1995) 247–255, [arXiv:hep-th/9504095].
- [To2] P. K. Townsend, *D-branes from M-branes*, Phys. Lett. **B373** (1996) 68–75, [arXiv:hep-th/9512062].
- [To3] P. K. Townsend, *Four lectures on M-theory*, Summer School in High Energy Physics and Cosmology Proceedings, E. Gava et. al (eds.), Singapore, World Scientific, 1997, [arXiv:hep-th/9612121].
- [V] C. Vafa, *Evidence for F-theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B469** (1996) 403–418, [arXiv:hep-th/9602022].
- [VW] C. Vafa and E. Witten, *A one-loop test of string duality*, Nucl. Phys. **B447** (1995) 261–270, [arXiv:hep-th/9505053].
- [Wa] B.-L. Wang, *Geometric cycles, index theory and twisted K-homology*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **2** (2008), 497–552, [arXiv:0710.1625] [math.KT].
- [We] P. C. West, *E₁₁ and M-theory*, Class. Quantum Grav. **18** (2001) 4443–4460.
- [W1] E. Witten, *Global gravitational anomalies*, Commun. Math. Phys. **100** (1985) 197–229.
- [W2] E. Witten, *Elliptic genera and quantum field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **109** (1987) 525–536.
- [W3] E. Witten, *The index of the Dirac operator in loop space*, in Elliptic curves and modular forms in algebraic topology (Princeton, NJ, 1986), 161–181, Lecture Notes in Math., 1326, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [W4] E. Witten, *Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial*, Commun. Math. Phys. **121** (1989) 351–399.
- [W5] E. Witten, *String theory dynamics in various dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B443** (1995) 85–126, [arXiv:hep-th/9503124].
- [W6] E. Witten, *D-Branes and K-Theory*, J. High Energy Phys. **12** (1998) 019, [arXiv:hep-th/9810188].
- [W7] E. Witten, *On flux quantization in M-theory and the effective action*, J. Geom. Phys. **22** (1997) 1–13, [arXiv:hep-th/9609122].
- [W8] E. Witten, *Five-brane effective action in M-theory*, J. Geom. Phys. **22** (1997) 103–133, [arXiv:hep-th/9610234].
- [W9] E. Witten, *Duality relations among topological effects in string theory*, J. High Energy Phys. **0005** (2000) 031, [arXiv:hep-th/9912086].

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT 06511
 CURRENT ADDRESS: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK,
 MARYLAND 20742
E-mail address: hsati@math.umd.edu